# Degradation and Removal Methods for Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Water

Nancy Merino,<sup>1,\*</sup> Yan Qu,<sup>2,\*\*</sup> Rula A. Deeb,<sup>3</sup> Elisabeth L. Hawley,<sup>3</sup> Michael R. Hoffmann,<sup>2</sup> and Shaily Mahendra<sup>1,†,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California. <sup>2</sup>Linde+Robinson Laboratories, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California. <sup>3</sup>Geosyntec Consultants, Oakland, California. \*Current affiliation: Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

\*\*Current affiliation: Trussell Technologies, Inc., Pasadena, California.

Received: May 2, 2016 Accepted in revised form: July 14, 2016

# Abstract

Several perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) have been identified as chemicals of concern in the environment due to their persistence, global ubiquity, and classification as reproductive and developmental toxicants, endocrine disrupters, and possible carcinogens. Multiple PFASs are often found together in the environment due to product manufacturing methods and abiotic and biotic transformations. Treatment methods are needed to effectively sequester or destroy a variety of PFASs from groundwater, drinking water, and wastewater. This review presents a comprehensive summary of several categories of treatment approaches: (1) sorption using activated carbon, ion exchange, or other sorbents, (2) advanced oxidation processes, including electrochemical oxidation, photolysis, and photocatalysis, (3) advanced reduction processes using aqueous iodide or dithionite and sulfite, (4) thermal and nonthermal destruction, including incineration, sonochemical degradation, sub- or supercritical treatment, microwavehydrothermal treatment, and high-voltage electric discharge, (5) microbial treatment, and (6) other treatment processes, including ozonation under alkaline conditions, permanganate oxidation, vitamin- $B_{12}$  and Ti(III) citrate reductive defluorination, and ball milling. Discussion of each treatment technology, including background, mechanisms, advances, and effectiveness, will inform the development of cost-effective PFAS remediation strategies based on environmental parameters and applicable methodologies. Further optimization of current technologies to analyze and remove or destroy PFASs below regulatory guidelines is needed. Due to the stability of PFASs, a combination of multiple treatment technologies will likely be required to effectively address real-world complexities of PFAS mixtures and cocontaminants present in environmental matrices.

*Keywords:* destruction; fluorotelomer alcohol (FTOH); perfluorinated compounds; perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS); perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA); polyfluorinated compounds; processes; remediation; transformation; treatment

# Introduction

**P**<sub>(PFASs)</sub> are man-made, environmentally persistent contaminants used in many industrial, military, and consumer products, including nonstick coatings, electronics, and aqueous film-forming foams (AFFFs) (Houtz *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2014b, 2014c; Kotthoff *et al.*, 2015). Many studies demonstrate that PFASs are reproductive and developmental toxins, endocrine disrupters, possible carcinogens, and bioaccumulative (Ding and Peijnenburg, 2013; Gorro-

chategui *et al.*, 2014). PFASs can also be transported globally through several physicochemical and biological processes and found in remote and pristine locations (Paul and Jones, 2009; Butt *et al.*, 2014).

There are several provisional health-based guidelines for PFASs in drinking water. These guidelines range from a lifetime drinking water health advisory of 70 ng/L for combined perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS) concentrations and 300–7,000 ng/L for C4–C7 PFASs (Wilhelm *et al.*, 2010; USEPA, 2016). Therefore, industries have shifted toward production of shorter-chain PFASs (Wang *et al.*, 2014b, 2014c).

Removal of PFASs from the environment to below the provisional guidelines is difficult using current treatment methods (Rahman *et al.*, 2014), and many studies are limited by analytical detection methods (up to mg/L). Wastewater

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, 5732C Boelter Hall, Los Angeles, CA 90095. *Phone:* 310-794-9850; *Fax:* 310-206-2222; *E-mail:* mahendra@seas.ucla.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Member of AEESP.

Compound	Material	Conditions	NaCl rejection (%)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	Time (h)	PFAS rejection (%)	References
Nanofiltration							
PFOA, PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PENA, PEDA	NF270	200 L, 18°C, pH 6.7, 0.17–0.97 MPa, feed flow = 11 (min	>50%	0.001	24	93–99	Appleman et al. (2013)
PFOS, PFBS, PFHxS	NF270	200 L, 18°C, pH 6.7, 0.17–0.97 MPa, feed flow = 1 L/min	>50%	0.001	24	95–99	Appleman et al. (2013)
PFOS	DK	$25^{\circ}C$ , pH 4, 1.38 MPa, feed flow = 1.37 L/min	66.4	10	96	90–99	Tang et al. (2007)
	NF270	$25^{\circ}$ C, pH 4, 1.38 MPa, feed flow = 1.37 L/min	56.9	10	96	90–99	Tang et al. (2007)
	NF90	25°C, pH 4, 1.38 MPa, feed flow = 1.37 L/min	94.4	10	96	90–99	Tang et al. (2007)
Reverse osmosis							
PFOS	SG	25°C, pH 4, 1.38 MPa, feed flow = 1.37 L/min	95.2	10	96	>99	Tang <i>et al.</i> (2006, 2007)
	LFC1	25°C, pH 4, 1.38 MPa, feed flow = 1.37 L/min	97.3	10	96	>99	Tang <i>et al.</i> (2007)
	LFC3	25°C, pH 4, 1.38 MPa, feed flow = 1.37 L/min	98.5	10	96	>99	Tang <i>et al.</i> (2006, 2007)
	BW30	25°C, pH 4, 1.38 MPa, feed flow = 1.37 L/min	97.9	10	96	>99	Tang <i>et al.</i> $(2006, 2007)$
	ESPA3	25°C, pH 4, 1.38 MPa, feed flow = 1.37 L/min	94.9	10	96	>99	Tang <i>et al.</i> (2006, 2007)

TABLE 1. FILTRATION OF PERFLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES

and drinking water treatment plants do not effectively remove PFASs unless reverse osmosis, nanofiltration, or activated carbon (AC) is utilized, but these need to be frequently renewed or changed (Tang *et al.*, 2006, 2007; Takagi *et al.*, 2008; Shivakoti *et al.*, 2010; Thompson *et al.*, 2011; Appleman *et al.*, 2013; Flores *et al.*, 2013; Table 1).

Other removal methods use extreme conditions that are costly, such as high temperature and pressure. The structures and physicochemical properties (e.g., partitioning constants and solubility) of many PFASs are still uncertain, which pose challenges for their treatment (Rayne and Forest, 2009). Several different treatment methods may need to be applied to cost-efficiently remove PFAS mixtures. Currently, many studies focus on removal of the two most studied PFASs in the environment, PFOS and PFOA. However, most of these methods produce short-chain PFASs that have unknown toxicity.

This review article presents a synopsis of recently described removal methods and discusses the viability and effectiveness of these methods under the following categories (Tables 1–8): (1) sorption, (2) advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), (3) advanced reduction processes (ARPs), (4) thermal and nonthermal destruction, and (5) microbial treatment. All abbreviations, definitions, and equations are listed in Supplementary Tables S1–S6.

# Discussion

#### Sorption processes

Sorption of PFASs has been studied for a wide variety of environmental matrices, mineral surfaces, and other adsorbents (Table 2). In all studies, it was assumed that PFAS molecules formed a monolayer on the adsorbent since PFAS concentration was lower than the critical micelle concentration.

Sorption occurs through two main interactions: (1) electrostatic and (2) hydrophobic. Meng *et al.* (2014) also demonstrated that air bubbles positively affected the sorption of PFOS onto carbonaceous materials, such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene, and powdered activated carbon (PAC), and the sorption was dependent on the surface polarity of the sorbent. PFOS prefers to exist at the air–water interface, resulting in the C–F chain partitioning into the air bubble, while the polar head group stays in aqueous solution. The effects of air bubble properties on PFASs have also been observed in sonolytic degradation and high-voltage electric discharge reactions.

Electrostatic interaction is a common sorption mechanism for PFASs. Since the  $pK_a$  values of PFOA and PFOS are 0.5 (Vierke *et al.*, 2013) and -2.3 (European Food Safety Authority, 2008), respectively, these compounds will likely be in anion form and sorb strongly to positively charged materials due to electrostatic interactions. Thus, pH plays an important role in PFAS sorption processes since it will affect the adsorbent's charge (Zhou *et al.*, 2010a). For example, Johnson *et al.* (2007) found that increasing pH caused two minerals, goethite and kaolinite, to become negatively charged, decreasing PFOS sorption. Electrostatic interaction can also change with monovalent cation concentrations, such as Na<sup>+</sup>, due to increasing ionic strength, leading to compression of the electrical double layer (Wang and Shih, 2011; Xiao *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2012).

Hydrophobic interactions also play an important role in PFAS sorption. While previous studies have demonstrated

Compound	Sorbent, amt (g)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	Sorbent properties	Conditions	Equilibration* or Exp.** time (h)	Adsorption capacity <sup>a</sup>	Best fit isotherm, kinetics	References
Aluminum PFOA	Alumina, 0.2	0.1	Pos. charged, SA <sub>BET</sub> = 88.6 m <sup>2</sup> /g,	T=25°C, 20mL pH 4.3, 150 rpm	48*	0.014	Langmuir, NA	Wang and Shih (2011)
	Boehmite (AlOOH), 0.1	0.2	particle size = $81.05 \mu m$ SA <sub>BET</sub> = $299.2 m^2/g$ , particle size = $37.02 \mu m$ ,	T=25°C, 20mL, pH 7, 150 rpm	48*	0.189	Langmuir, NA	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2012a)
PFOS	Alumina, 0.2	0.1	$PH_{pzc} = 8.4$ Pos. charged $SA_{BET} = 88.6 m^2/g_{c}$	T=25°C, 20mL pH 4.3, 150 rpm	48*	0.022	Langmuir, NA	Wang and Shih (2011)
	Boehmite (AlOOH), 0.1	0.2	particle size = $87.02 \mu m$ SA <sub>BET</sub> = $299.2 m^2/g$ particle size = $37.02 \mu m$ ,	T=25°C, 20mL pH 7, 150 rpm	48*	0.262	Langmuir, NA	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2012)
	Zeolite NaY80 (80 SiO <sub>2</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ), 0.1	15-150	$PH_{pcc}^{PL} = 8.4$ $SA = 780 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$	T = $30^{\circ}$ C, 100 mL, pH 7.2, 150 rpm, 3 mM PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	48**	114.7	Langmuir, NA	Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra- Alvarez (2008)
Montmorillonite (Mt)– PFOA	Na <sub>3</sub> (Al,Mg) <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>4</sub> O <sub>10</sub> (OH <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>•</sup> Mt, 0.1	4H <sub>2</sub> O 0.6	$SA_{BET} = 67.52 \text{ m}^2/\text{g},$ $pH_{pzc} = 7.2,$	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.108	Langmuir, Pseudo-	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
PFHxA	Mt, 0.1	0.6	CEC = 111  cmol/kg $SA_{BET} = 67.52 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , $pH_{pyc} = 7.2$ ,	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.034	second-order Langmuir, Pseudo-	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
PFOS	Mt, 0.1	0.6	$CEC = 111 \text{ cmol/kg}$ $SA_{BET} = 67.52 \text{ m}^2/\text{g},$ $pH_{pzc} = 7.2,$	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/LNaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.294	second-order Langmuir, Pseudo-	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	Na-Mt, 4	400	CEC = 111 cmol/kg Pos. charged, $pH_{pzc} = 6.6$ , $f_{oc} = 0.08\%$	T=25°C, 25 mL pH 3, 150 rpm, 0.588 g/L	120**	100 <sup>b</sup>	second-order NA, NA	Zhou <i>et al.</i> (2013a)
	Na-Mt, 10	50-500	Pos. charged, CEC = 106 cmol/kg	T=25°C, 25 mL pH 6.3, 150 rpm	24*	120	Langmuir, Pseudo-	Zhou <i>et al.</i> (2010a)
	AET-Mt, 4	400	Pos. charged, $pH_{pzc} = 2.3$ , $f_{OC} = 1.51\%$	T=25°C, 25 mL pH 3, 150 rpm, 0.588 g/L	120**	600 <sup>b</sup>	second-order NA, NA	Zhou <i>et al.</i> (2013a)
	AET-HDTMAB- Mt, 4	400	Pos. charged, $pH_{pzc} = None,$	$T = 25 \circ C, 25 mL pH 3,$ 150 rpm, 0.588 g/L	120**	900 <sub>9</sub>	Linear, NA	Zhou <i>et al.</i> (2013a)
	HDTMAB-Mt, 4	400	$I_{OC} = 19.24\%$ Pos. charged, $pH_{pzc} = None,$	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 25 mL pH 3, 150 rpm, 0.588 g/L	120**	1,000 <sup>b</sup>	Linear, NA	Zhou <i>et al.</i> (2013a)
	2.5CEC-Mt, 10	50-500	Pos. charged, CEC = 106 cmol/kg	$T = 25^{\circ}C_{\circ}^{2O_{7}}$ T = 25°C_{\circ}^{\circ}25 mL pH 6.3, 150 rpm	120**	855	Langmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Zhou <i>et al.</i> (2010a)

TABLE 2. SORPTION OF PER- AND POLYFLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES

Compound	Sorbent, amt (g)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	Sorbent properties	Conditions	Equilibration* or Exp.** time (h)	Adsorption capacity <sup>a</sup>	Best fit isotherm, kinetics	References
PFHxS	Mt, 0.1	0.6	$SA_{BET} = 67.52, pH_{pzc} = 7.2, CEC = 111 cmol/kg$	250 mL, shaking, 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.344	Langmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Activated carbon PFOA	GAC F400, 0.1	15-150	Effective size = 0.55-0.75 mm	T = $30^{\circ}$ C, 100 mL, pH 7.2, 150 rpm, 3 mM PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	48**	112.1	Langmuir, NA	Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra- Alvarez
	GAC, 1.0477	53	NA	pH 4.4, diluted wastewater	168**	5	NA, NA	(2008) Lampert <i>et al</i> .
	PAC, 0.25	20	Particle size ≥100, SA <sub>BET</sub> = 1,000 m <sup>2</sup> /g	T = 25°C, 100 mL, pH 6.1, 120 rpm	*	16.502	Langmuir, Pseudo-	(2007) Qu <i>et al.</i> (2009)
	PAC Membrane	0.2	Particle size $\leq 40 \ \mu m$ ,	$T = 28^{\circ}C$ , 16L, 36L/h air, pH	720**	4.511	secona-oraer Freundlich, NA	Yu et al. (2014)
	PAC-PACI, 5	5-50	$A_{BET} = 703.6 \text{ m/g}$ $SA_{BET} = 880 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , pore volume = 0.365 cm <sup>3</sup> /g, micropore volume = 0.154 cm <sup>3</sup> /c,	0.0–7.2, wastewated Humic and fulvic acid, fast (300 rpm) and slow (40 rpm) mixing, settling,	NA	NA	NA	Pramanik <i>et al.</i> (2015)
PFBS	GAC F400, 0.1	15-150	0.55-0.75 mm	$T = 30^{\circ}C$ , 100 mL, pH 7.2, 150 rpm, 3 mM $PO_4^{3-}$	48**	98.7	Langmuir, NA	Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra- Alvarez
PFOS	GAC F300, 0.1	15-150	Effective size = 0.8–1.0 mm	$T = 30^{\circ}C$ , 100 mL, pH 7.2, 150 rpm, 3 mM $PO_{4}^{3-}$	48**	196.2	Langmuir, NA	(2005) Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra- Alvarez
	GAC F400, 0.1	15–150	Effective size = 0.55-0.75 mm	$T = 30^{\circ}C$ , 100 mL, pH 7.2, 150 rpm, 3 mM $PO_{4}^{3-}$	48**	236.4	Langmuir, NA	(2008) Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra- Alvarez
	GAC F400, 0.1	0.1	$SA = 900-1,100 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$	$T = 25^{\circ}C,75 mL$ , pH 6.4,	<20* <sup>b</sup>	0.00018	Freundlich, NA	Senevirathna
	GAC URV-MOD1, 0.1	15-150	Effective size = 1.0–1.18 mm	T = $30^{\circ}$ C, 100 mL, pH 7.2, 150 rpm, 3 mM PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	48**	211.6	Freundlich, NA	et al. (2010) Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra- Alvarez
	GAC, 1.0477	16.8	NA	pH 4.4, diluted wastewater	168**	1.6	NA, NA	Lampert <i>et al.</i>
	PAC, 0.01	50	Size <0.1 mm, SABET = $812 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ,	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 100 mL, pH 3, 150 rpm, 0.24 g/L	4**	520	Freundlich, Pseudo-	Yu et al. (2009)
	PAC Membrane Bioreactor, 0.48	0.2	Putpec = /.5 Particle size $\leq 40 \mu m$ , SA <sub>BET</sub> = 763.8 m <sup>2</sup> /g	T=28°C, 16L, 36L/h air, pH 6.8–7.5, Wastewater	720**	5.157	secona-oraer Freundlich, NA	Yu et al. (2014)

Compound	Sorbent, amt (g)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	Sorbent properties	Conditions	Equilibration* or Exp.** time (h)	Adsorption capacity <sup>a</sup>	Best fit isotherm, kinetics	References
	PAC-PACI, 5	NA	$SA_{BET} = 880 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , pore volume = 0.365 cm <sup>3</sup> /g, micropore volume =	Humic and fulvic acid, fast (300 rpm) and slow (40 rpm) mixing, settling	NA	NA	NA	Pramanik <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	AC43767, 0.1	100	$SA_{BET} = 1.553 m^2/g$ , microporous and	T=25°C, 200 mL, f=250/ min, 9.81 g/L H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	$10^{*a}$	1250 <sup>b</sup>	NA, NA	Schuricht et al. (2014)
Several PFAAs	GAC Filtrasorb 300 (Calgon F300) GAC Calgon F600	0.001	mesoporous Coal based, apparent density = 0.48 g/mL Coal based, apparent density = 0.62–0.65 g/mL	T=18°C, 150L feed flow=1L/min, pH 6.7 DI water and Clear Creek surface water	192*	<10% PFAAs remaining in		Appleman <i>et al.</i> (2013) Appleman <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	GAC AquaCarb 1240C	0.001	Coconut based, Apparent density = 0.46–0.52 g/mL	DI water and Clear Creek surface water	792**	ement		Appleman et al. (2013)
Iron PFOA	70% Hematite, 0.1	0.6	$SA_{BET} = 9.9$ , $pH_{pzc} = 5.9$ , CEC = 78 cmol/kg	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.112	Langmuir, Pseudo-	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
PFHxA	70% Hematite, 0.1	9.0	$SA_{BET} = 9.9$ , $pH_{pxc} = 5.9$ , $CEC = 78$ cmol/kg	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.059	Eangmuir, Pseudo-	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
PFOS	Iron sand,	8	Pos. and Neg. charged, $c_{\Lambda} = \frac{\epsilon_{\Lambda}}{c_{\Lambda}} \frac{2I_{\Omega}}{c_{\Lambda}}$	Up to $15 \mathrm{mL}$ , $1-10 \mathrm{g/L}$ KNO <sub>3</sub>	24*	0.096 <sup>b</sup>	second-order Langmuir, NA	Johnson et al.
	0.01-0.00 Goethite, 0.01-0.08	8	Pos. charged, SA = $0 \text{ III}$ /g Pos. charged, SA = $50 \text{ and } 2/2 \text{ and } 2/2$	up to 15 mL, 1-10 g/L KNO <sub>3</sub>	24*	0.070 <sup>b</sup>	Langmuir, NA	Johnson et al.
	Goethite, 0.417	0.005-1	Point $g_{BET}$ proper -1.3-7.3 Pos. charged, SABET = 76.1 m <sup>2</sup> /g, = -0.4	40 mL, pH 7, 0.584 g/L NaCl	3*	0.180	Langmuir, NA	$\begin{array}{c} Tang et al. \\ (2010) \end{array}$
	70% Hematite, 0.1	0.6	$SA_{BET}^{PL1pzc} = -5.4$ SA <sub>BET</sub> = 9.9, pH <sub>pzc</sub> = 5.9, CEC = 78 cmol/kg	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.312	Langmuir, Pseudo-	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
PFHxS	70% Hematite, 0.1	0.6	$SA_{BET} = 9.9$ , $pH_{pzc} = 5.9$ , $CEC = 78$ cmol/kg	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.370	second-order Langmuir, Pseudo-	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
PFOS and PFOA	Hematite, 0.01–0.08	207	Pos. charged, SA <sub>BET</sub> = $69.3 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , particle size = $10-20 \text{ nm}$	pH 6, 0.584 g/L NaCl	**9	5.19	second-order NA, Pseudo- first-order	Gao and Chorover (2012)
Ion-exchange polymers PFOA	Amberlite IRA 400, 0.005	50	Exchange capacity = 3-3.5 eq/kg, size =	T=25°C, 100 mL, pH 3, 150 rpm, 0.24 g/L	168*	1,403	Freundlich, Pseudo-first-	Yu et al. (2009)
	US Filter A-714	4,320	0.3-1.2 mm NA	NaH2PO4 Wastewater	25**	686	order NA, NA	Lampert et al.
	Amberlite XAD 7HP	0.414	Particle size 20–60 mesh, SA ≥380 m²/g	T = 22.2°C, 40 mL, pH 7.8, 0.084 g/L NaHCO <sub>3</sub> 0.058 g/L NaCl	60**	NA	Freundlich, NA	(2007) Xiao <i>et al.</i> (2012)

Compound	Sorbent, amt (g)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	Sorbent properties	Conditions	Equilibration* or Exp.** time (h)	Adsorption capacity <sup>a</sup>	Best fit isotherm, kinetics	References
PFOS	Amberlite IRA 458, 10	175	Anion exchange with quarternary ammonium	T=22°C, 6 L pH 4.4–5.8, 200 rpm, 1.22 g/L NaClO <sub>4</sub>	*	1,000 <sup>b</sup>	NA, NA	Carter and Farrell (2010)
	Amberlite IRA 400, 0.1	0.0001	Exchange capacity = $3-3.5$ eq/kg, diameter =	T=25°C, 100 mL, pH 6.4, 150 rpm	<20* <sup>b</sup>	0.0009	Freundlich, NA	Senevirathna et al. (2010)
	DowMarathon A, 0.1	0.0001	Exchange capacity = $1.3$ eq/L, diameter = $0.57$	T=25°C, 100 mL, pH 6.4, 150 rpm	<20* <sup>b</sup>	0.00201	Freundlich, NA	Senevirathna et al. (2010)
	Amberlite XAD 7HP	0.5	Particle size $20-60$ mesh, SA $\ge 380 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$	T = 22.2°C, 40 mL, pH 7.8, 0.084 g/L NaHCO <sub>3</sub> 0.058 o/T NaCI	6**	NA	Freundlich, NA	Xiao <i>et al.</i> (2012)
	US Filter A-714	950	NA	500 mL, stirred, Wastewater,	25**	151	NA, NA	Lampert et al.
	AS-F860, 0.1	<25 <sup>b</sup>	Acrylate, trialkylammonium	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 200 mL, 350 rpm, 9.81 g/L H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	48**	1,250 <sup>b</sup>	NA, NA	Schuricht <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	AS-F500 and AW-	100	Styrene/DVB mesoporous	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 200 mL, 350 rpm,	$16^{*b}$	1,250 <sup>b</sup>	NA, NA	Schuricht et al.
PFBS	F100, 0.1 Amberlite IRA 458, 10	165	Anion exchange with quarternary ammonium ion groups	T = 22°C, 6 L pH 4.4–5.8, 200 rpm, 1.22 g/L NaClO <sub>4</sub>	4*	$300^{\mathrm{b}}$	NA, NA	Carter and Farrell (2010)
Nonion-exchange polym PFOS	lers DowL493, 0.1	0.0001	$SA = 1,100 \text{ m}^2/\text{g},$ diameter = 0.8 mm	T=25°C, 100 mL, pH 6.4, 150 rpm	100* <sup>b</sup>	0.00793	Freundlich, Pseudo-	Senevirathna et al. (2010)
	Amb XAD 4, 0.1	0.0001	$SA > 750 m^2/g$ , diameter = 0.35-	T=25°C, 100 mL, pH 6.4, 150 rpm	20* <sup>b</sup>	0.00195	Freundlich, Pseudo-	Senevirathna et al. (2010)
	DowV493, 0.1	0.0001	SA = 1,025 m <sup>2</sup> /g, diameter = 0.8 mm	T=25°C, 100 mL, pH 6.4, 150 rpm	100* <sup>b</sup>	0.00929	Freundlich, Pseudo- second-order	Senevirathna et al. (2010)
Kaolinite-Al <sub>4</sub> Si(OH) <sub>4</sub> PFDA, PFNA, PFOS, PFUnDA, PFOA	Kaolinite, 0.02	0.513 PFDA, 0.463 PFNA, 0.499 PFOS, 1.563 PFUnDA,	Neg. charged, SA = $10 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , pH <sub>pxc</sub> = 5.1, CEC = 3.3 meq/100 g	T=22°C, 40 mL, pH 7.5, 0.084 g/L NaHCO <sub>3</sub> 0.058 g/L NaCl	48*	NA	Linear, NA	Xiao <i>et al.</i> (2011)
PFOA	Kaolinite, 0.1	0.413 PFOA 0.6	$SA_{BET} = 23.11$ , $pH_{pzc} = 3.6$ , CEC = $34 \text{ cmol/kg}$	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.104	Langmuir, Pseudo-	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
PFHxA	Kaolinite, 0.1	0.6	$SA_{BET} = 23.11$ , $pH_{pzc} = 3.6$ , $CEC = 34$ cmol/kg	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.036	Eangmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)

pu	Sorbent, amt (g)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	Sorbent properties	Conditions	Equilibration* or Exp. ** time (h)	Adsorption capacity <sup>a</sup>	Best fit isotherm, kinetics	References
S	Kga-2, 0.01–0.08	~	Neg. charged, SA = $10 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , pH <sub>pzc</sub> = 4.6, $f = -0.02 \text{ gr}$	up to 15 mL, 1-10 g/L KNO <sub>3</sub>	24*	0.050 <sup>b</sup>	Langmuir, NA	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (2007)
S	Kaolinite, 0.1	0.6	$_{10C}^{10C} = 0.02\%$ SA <sub>BET</sub> = 23.11, pH <sub>pzc</sub> = 3.6, CEC = 34 cmol/kg	250  mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.303	Langmuir, Pseudo-	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
xS	Kaolinite, 0.1	0.6	$SA_{BET} = 23.11$ , $pH_{pzc} = 3.6$ , CEC = 34 cmol/kg	250 mL, shaking, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 g/L NaN <sub>3</sub>	0.33*	0.312	second-order Langmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2014)
sand	Ottawa sand, 0.01– 0.08	×	Neg. charged, SA = $0.002 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , pH <sub>pzc</sub> = $2.5$	up to 15 mL, 1-10 g/L KNO <sub>3</sub>	24*	0.024 <sup>b</sup>	Langmuir, NA	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (2007)
ants S	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CTAB} \\ (\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{42}\text{BrN}), \end{array}$	7	Pos. charged	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 45 mL pH 7, 250 rpm, 3 g sediment,	24**	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	Freundlich, NA	Pan <i>et al</i> . (2009
	0.0016 SDBS (C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>29</sub> NaO <sub>3</sub> S), 0.0019	7	Neg. charged	0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> T=25°C, 45 mL pH 7, 250 rpm, 3 g sediment, 0.056 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub>	24**	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	Freundlich, NA	Pan <i>et al</i> . (2009
S	Nanoparticles, 0.5	0.2	Neg. charged, SA <sub>BET</sub> = $63.4 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , pH <sub>pzc</sub> <3.0, particle size $\sim 15 \text{ nm}$	40 mL, pH 7, 0.584 g/L NaCl	96**	0.38	NA, NA	Tang <i>et al.</i> (2010)
sorbents A	Electrochemical- assisted adsorption (MWCNT),	0.1	$SA_{BET} = 519.7 m^2/g, pH_{pzc} = 7.3$	T=25°C, 20mL pH 6.5, 0.6 V, 0.142 g/L Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	2* <sup>b</sup>	406	Langmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Li et al. (2011)
	0.001 Electrocoagulation with Zinc,	0.207	Zinc hydroxide flocs	400 mL, pH 5, 800 rpm, DC 0.584 g/L NaCl	<0.17*	2,376	Freundlich, Pseudo-	Lin <i>et al.</i> (2015
	200 cm <sup>-</sup> MMCN, 150	280	$SA_{BET} = 404 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , pore volume = $0.44 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ , pore size = $3.7 \text{ nm}$ ,	T = 25°C, 250 mL, pH 3.25, stirred	ж.	370.37	second-order Langmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Yan <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	Hexagonal mesoporous silica (HMSc),	6.5	$PH_{pxc} = 4.02$ $SA_{BET} = 972 \text{ m}^2/g, \text{ pore}$ volume ~0.95 cm <sup>3</sup> /g	T=25°C, $\sim$ 25 mL, stirred	5*	5.13	Langmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Nassi <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	U.025 Hexagonal mesoporous silica (HMSe), 0.075	6.5	$SA_{BET} = 1,019 \text{ m}^2/g$ , pore volume $\sim 0.95 \text{ cm}^3/g$	T = 25°C, $\sim$ 25 mL, stirred	2*	6.12	Langmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Nassi <i>et al.</i> (2014)

Compound	Sorbent, amt (g)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	Sorbent properties	Conditions	Equilibration* or Exp. ** time (h)	Adsorption capacity <sup>a</sup>	Best fit isotherm, kinetics	References
	Mesoporous molecular sieve	6.5	$SA_{BET} = 850 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}, \text{ pore}$ volume = 0.70 cm <sup>3</sup> /g	$T=25^{\circ}C, \sim 25 \text{ mL}, \text{ stirred}$	2*	4.29	Langmuire, Pseudo-	Nassi <i>et al.</i> (2014)
PFOS	MIP Chitosan cross-linked to	50	NA	T=25°C, 100 mL, pH 5, 130 rpm, 0–29.22 g/L NaCl	32*	280	Freundlich, Pseudo-	Yu et al. (2008)
	ECH, 0.01 NIP Chitosan cross- linked to ECH,	186	Bead size = $2-3$ mm, SA <sub>specific</sub> = $14.1 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ,	$T=25^{\circ}C$ , 200 mL, pH 3, 150 rpm, 0.174 g/L K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	60* <sup>b</sup>	2,250 <sup>b</sup>	NA, double- exponential	Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	NIP Chitosan cross- linked to ECH,	50	pore volume = 9.11 cm /g NA	T = 25°C, 100 mL, pH 5, 130 rpm, 0-29.22 g/L NaCl	16*	129	Langmuir, Pseudo-	Yu et al. (2008)
	MIP with TRIA,	200	NA	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 20 mL, pH 5.1,	<0.5* <sup>b</sup>	225 <sup>b</sup>	second-order NA, NA	Deng et al.
	Electrochemical- assisted adsorption (MWCNT),	0.1	$SA = 519.7 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$	T=25°C, 20mL, pH 6.5, 0.6 V, 0.142 g/L Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1* <sup>b</sup>	470	Langmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Li et al. (2011)
	0.001 MWCNTs-ENFMs, 0.05–0.55	0.1	$SA = 13.2 m^2/g$	T=25°C, 50mL, pH 6, 150 rpm	40*	0.750	Freundlich, Pseudo-	Dai et al. (2013)
	Electrocoagulation with Zinc,	0.250	Zinc hydroxide flocs	400 mL, pH 5, DC 0.548 g/L NaCl	<0.17*	3,845	Freundlich, Pseudo-	Lin et al. (2015)
	200 CIII EFMs, 0.05	0.1	Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)	T=25°C, 50mL, 150rpm	2*	0.03057	Eangmuir, Pseudo-first-	Xu et al. (2013)
	EFMs, 0.05	0.1	Poly(D,L-lactide-co- glycolid) (PLGA)	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 50 mL, 150 rpm	2*	0.03098	Freundlich, Pseudo-first-	Xu et al. (2013)
	PCMAs), 0.01	-	TPODAC and TMB <sup>c</sup> , 10–200 um marticle size	$T = 25^{\circ}C, 20 \text{ mL}, 150 \text{ rpm}$	0.08*	1.75	Freundlich, NA	Wang et al.
	MMCN, 150	280	SA <sub>BET</sub> = 404 m <sup>2</sup> /g, pore volume = $0.44 \text{ cm}^3/g$ , pore size = $3.7 \text{ nm}$ ,	T = 25°C, 250 mL, pH 3.25, stirred	°,	454.55	Langmuir, Pseudo- second-order	Yan <i>et al.</i> (2014) (2014)
	PA-F2600, 0.1	100	$\operatorname{SA_{BET}}_{\operatorname{Size}} = 4.02$ $\operatorname{SA_{BET}}_{\operatorname{BET}} = 826  \mathrm{m^2/g}$ , pore	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 200 mL, 350 rpm, 0.81 $_{0.1}^{\circ}T$ T SO	8* <sup>b</sup>	750 <sup>b</sup>	NA, NA	Schuricht <i>et al.</i>
PFBS, PFHxS	PCMAs, 0.01	-	TPODAC and TMB <sup>c</sup> , $10-300 \ \mu m$ particle size	7=25°C, 20mL, 150rpm	0.08*	1.25 PFBS, 1.45 PFHxS	Freundlich, NA	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Wang et al.} \\ \text{(2014a)} \\ \text{(2014a)} \end{array}$

Compound	Sorbent, amt (g)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	Sorbent properties	Conditions	Equilibration* or Exp. ** time (h)	Adsorption capacity <sup>a</sup>	Best fit isotherm, kinetics	References
Environmental matrices PFOA	Anaerobic sludge, 2 o wet	0.26	Moisture content = 70.5% VSS 10.1%	$T=25^{\circ}C$ , 200 mL pH 5.5–5.8, 150 mm	11*	$0.041^{\mathrm{b}}$	NA, Pseudo- second-order	Zhou <i>et al.</i>
PFOS	zε wet Anaerobic sludge, 2 σ wet	0.315	Moisture content = 70.5% VSS 10.1%	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 200 mL pH 5.5–5.8, 150 rpm	$11^{*}$	0.082	NA, Pseudo- second-order	Zhou et al.
	Anaerobic digested sewage sludge <sup>d</sup> , 0.024-0.352 g	15-150	TSS=17.20%, VSS=11.76%	$T = 30^{\circ}C$ , 200 mL, pH 7.2, 150 rpm, 3 mM $PO_{4}^{3-}$	48**	6.14	Langmuir, NA	Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra- Alvarez
	Anaerobic granular sludge <sup>d</sup> 0.024– 0.352 g VSS	15–150	TSS=7.45%, VSS=6.98%	T = $30^{\circ}$ C, 200 mL, pH 7.2, 150 rpm, 3 mM PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	48**	120 <sup>b</sup>	Freundlich, NA	(2006) Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra- Alvarez (2008)

TABLE 2. (CONTINUED)

<sup>a</sup>mg-PFASs/g-sorbent. <sup>b</sup>Estimated from figure in article. <sup>c</sup>[3-(Trymethoxysily)propyl]-octadecyldimethylammonium chloride (TPODAC); 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene (TMB). <sup>d</sup>Anaerobic digested sewage sludge from Ina Road municipal WWTP (Tuscon, AZ). Anaerobic granular sludge from industrial reactor treating wastewater from alcohol distillery (Nedako, the Netherlands).

AC, activated carbon; EFMs, electrospun fiber membranes; MMCN, magnetic mesoporous carbon nitride; NA, not available (not mentioned in study); PCMA, permanently confined micelle array; Pos., positively; Neg., negatively.

		I ABLE :	5. ELECTROC	HEMICAL DEGRADATION OF F	ERFLUOR	OALKYL COMP	OUNDS			
Compound	Anode/ cathode	Working/counter/ reference electrode	Working area (cm <sup>2</sup> )/plate distance (cm)	Conditions	Time (h)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	% Removal	% F <sup>-</sup>	Kinetics	References
PFOA	BDD/Pt-deposited	BDD/Pt wire/Ag/	0.26/NA	300 mL, 0.2 L/min, 1.22 g/L NaClO, 0.15 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	8	3,312	$60^{a}$	$22^{\mathrm{a}}$	Pseudo first- order	Ochiai <i>et al.</i>
	BDD/Pt foil	BDD/NA/NA	27/1	500 mL 1.5 wt% P25 TiO <sub>2</sub> , 500 mL 1.5 wt% P25 TiO <sub>2</sub> , 254 nm, 0.2 L/min, 12.2 g/L NaCIO., 0.6 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	ε	1,035	35 <sup>a</sup>	NA	Pseudo first- order	$\begin{array}{c} (2011a) \\ \text{Ochiai } et al. \\ (2011c) \end{array}$
	BDD/Nb/tungsten	BDD/Nb/NA/NA	42/0.8	$T = 20^{\circ}C$ , 6.66 L/min, 5 g/L Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , 20 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	9	100	>90 <sup>a</sup>	$36^{a}$	First-order	Urtiaga <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Nanocrystalline PbO <sub>2</sub> film/Ti	Nanocrystalline PbO <sub>2</sub> film/Pt wire/SCE	97.5/1	T=25°C, 100 mL, 1.22 g/L NaCIO <sub>4</sub> , 20 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.5	100	96.7	81.7	Pseudo first- order	Niu <i>et al.</i> (2012)
	Si/BDD	Si/BDD/Ti sheet/ SCE	8.5/3	T = 32°C, 40 mL, pH 3, 1,500 rpm, 1.4 g/L NaCIO. 23 24 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	7	50	>90 <sup>a</sup>	60 <sup>a</sup>	Pseudo first- order	Zhuo <i>et al.</i> (2012)
	Ti/SnO <sub>2</sub> -Sb/Ti	Ti/SnO <sub>2</sub> -Sb/Pt wire/SCE	NA/I	T = 25°C, 100 mL, pH 5, 1.22 g/L NaClO4, 10mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.5	100	90.3	72.9	Pseudo first- order	Lin <i>et al.</i> (2012b)
	Ti/SnO <sub>2</sub> -Sb/ PbO <sub>2</sub> /Ti	Ti/SnO <sub>2</sub> -Sb/PbO <sub>2</sub> / Pt wire/SCE	NA/1	T=25°C, 100 mL, pH 5, 1.22 g/L NaCIO4, 10 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.5	100	91.1	77.4	Pseudo first- order	Lin <i>et al.</i> (2012b)
	Ti/SnO <sub>2</sub> -Sb/ MnO <sub>2</sub> /Ti	Ti/SnO <sub>2</sub> -Sb/MnO <sub>2</sub> / Pt wire/SCE	NA/I	T=25°C, 100 mL, pH 5, 1.22 g/L NaCIO4, 10 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.5	100	31.7	45.6	Pseudo first- order	Lin <i>et al.</i> (2012b)
	Ti/SnO <sub>2</sub> -F <sup>b</sup> /Ti sheet	Ti/SnO <sub>2</sub> -F/Pt sheet/ SCE	NA/1	$T = 25^{\circ}C, 50 \text{ mL}, 1.22 \text{ g/L}$ NaClO <sub>4</sub> , 20 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	0.5	100	>99	$80^{a}$	Pseudo first- order	Yang <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Ti/RuO <sub>2</sub> /stainless steel	Ti/RuO <sub>2</sub> /NA/NA	100/1.6	Room temperature, AFFF- impacted groundwater, 500 mg/L Na <sub>5</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , 1.5 mL/ min, 200 W, 10 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	×	90	90 <sup>a</sup>	58	First-order	Schaefer et al. (2015)
PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA	Nanocrystalline PbO <sub>2</sub> film/Ti	Nanocrystalline PbO <sub>2</sub> film/Pt wire/SCE	97.5/1	T=25°C, 100 mL, 1.22 g/L NaClO <sub>4</sub> , 20 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.5	100	31.8 PFBA, 41.4 PFPeA, 78.2 PFHxA, 97.9 PFHpA	14.9 PFBA, 27.5 PFPeA, 62.5 PFHxA, 86.2 PFHpA	Pseudo first- order	Niu <i>et al.</i> (2012)
PFBA, PFHxA, PFDA	Si/BDD	Si/BDD/Ti sheet/ SCE	8.5/3	T = 32°C, 40 mL, pH 3, 1,500 rpm, 1.4 g/L NaCIO, 23.24 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	5	24.4 PFBA, 35.8 PFHxA, 58.6 PFDA	95 <sup>a</sup> PFBA, 95 <sup>a</sup> PFHxA, 98 <sup>a</sup> PFDA	80 <sup>a</sup> PFBA, 70 <sup>a</sup> PFHxA, 50 <sup>a</sup> PFDA	Pseudo first- order	Zhuo <i>et al.</i> (2012)
PFOS	Si/BDD	Si/BDD/Ti sheet/ SCE	8.5/3	T = 32°C, 40 mL, pH 3, 1,500 rpm, 1.4 g/L NaClO <sub>4</sub> , 23.24 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	7	57	95 <sup>a</sup>	$70^{a}$	Pseudo first- order	Zhuo <i>et al.</i> (2012)

				TABLE 3. (CONTINUEI	0)					
Compound	Anode/ cathode	Working/counter/ reference electrode	Working area (cm <sup>2</sup> )/plate distance (cm)	Conditions	Time (h)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	% Removal	% F <sup>-</sup>	Kinetics	References
	Si/BDD	(RDE) Si/BDD/Pt wire/Hg/Hg <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	NA/NA	T = 22°C, 15 mL, 3,000 rpm, 0.915 g/L NaClO <sub>4</sub> , 5 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	20	200	$50^{a}$	NA	Zeroth-order	Carter and Farrell (2008)
	Monopolar electrodes	(Flow through) Si/ BDD/Pt wire/ none	25/0.3	T = 22°C, 2 L, pH 4, 0.1 L/min, 1.22 g/L NaClO <sub>4</sub> , 20 mA/ cm <sup>2</sup>	30	200	>99 <sup>a</sup>	NA	Pseudo first- order	Carter and Farrell (2008)
	Ti/RuO2/stainless steel	Ti/RuO <sub>2</sub> /NA/NA	100/1.6	Room temperature, AFFF- impacted groundwater, 500 mg/L Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , recirculation 1.5 mL/min, 200 W	∞	06	>90 <sup>a</sup>	98	First-order	Schaefer et al. (2015)
PFOS, PFBS, PFHxS	Nb/BDD	Nb/BDD/NA/NA	35/2	T = 22–26°C, 1,000 mL, 300 rpm, synthetic groundwater, 14.2 g/L Na,SO, 278 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	43	15 PFOS, 2.9 PFBS, 11 PFHxS	98 PFOS, 45 PFBS, 91 PFHxS	66 (total)	Pseudo first- order	Trautmann <i>et al.</i> (2015)
PFBS, PFHxS	Si/BDD	Si/BDD/Ti sheet/ SCE	8.5/3	T = 32°C, 40 mL, pH 3, 1.500 rpm, 1.4g/L NaCIO, 23 24 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.67	34.2 PFBS, 45.6 PFHxS	67 <sup>a</sup> PFBS, 85 <sup>a</sup> PFHxS	50 <sup>a</sup> PFBS, 65 <sup>a</sup> PFHxS	Pseudo first- order	Zhuo <i>et al.</i> (2012)
PFBS	Si/BDD/NA	(RDE) Si/BDD/Pt wire/Hg/Hg <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	NA/NA	T = 22°C, 350 or 600 mL, pH 5, 3,000 rpm, 1.22 g/L NaCIO <sub>4</sub> , 10 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.5	120	>97 <sup>a</sup>	91 <sup>a</sup>	Zeroth-order	Liao and Farrell (2009)
<sup>a</sup> Estimated fro	m figure in article.									

TARIE 3 (CONTINITED)

<sup>b</sup>Also tested Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub>-Cl, Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub>-Br, Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub>-J, and Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub>-Sb. BDD, boron-doped diamond; RDE, rotating disk electrode; SCE, saturated calomel electrode.

			TABLE 4. DIRECT 1	PHOTOLYSIS	of Per- and P	OLYFLUOROAL	kyl Substang	CES		
Compound	λ (nm)	Gas	Conditions	Time (h)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	% Removal	% F	Kinetics	Energy, W (light intensity, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	References
Direct photolysis of perflu- PFOA	oroalkyl compou 254 and 185	$_{2}^{\mathrm{nds}}$	T=23°C, 1.4 L pH 5 008	e	1	87.3	20.5	Pseudo-first-order	20 (4.9)	Giri et al.
	254 and 185	$\mathbf{N}_2$	$T = 23^{\circ}C$ , 300 rpm	4	0.5	98.6	$28^{a}$	First-order	20 (NA)	$\operatorname{Giri}_{(2011)}^{(2012)}$
	254 and 185	Air	17.с-7с.с нд NA	7	4.14	87	25	Pseudo-first-order	23 (62–69)	$\operatorname{Cao}_{et al.}^{(2011)}$
	185	$O_2$	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , 1,000 mL, 0.407 g/L K <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	7	25	87.4	$40^{a}$	Pseudo-first-order	23 (NA)	Chen and Zhang
	185	$\mathbf{N}_2$	$T = 40^{\circ}C, 800 \text{ mL},$	2	41.4	61.7	17.1	Pseudo-first-order	15 (NA)	Chen et al.
PFOS	254	$\mathbf{N}_2$	$T = 36-46^{\circ}C$ , 750 mL	240	20	68	71	Pseudo-first-order	32 (3.73)	Yamamoto et al.
	254 and 185	$\mathbf{N}_2$	T=25°C, 400 mL, pH 12.5	б	10	NA	32.8	Pseudo-first-order	23 (0.24, 185 nm) (1.65, 254 nm)	Jin and Zhang
Branched	254 and 185	${ m N}_2$	T=25°C, 400 mL, pH 12.5	б	3.25	>99ª	95.4	Pseudo-first-order	23 (0.24, 185 nm) (1.65, 254 nm)	(CIUZ) Jin and Zhang
Linear	254 and 185	$\mathbf{N}_2$	T = 25°C, 400 mL, pH 12.5	72	NA	$70^{a}$	NA	First-order	23 (0.24, 185 nm) (1.65, 254 nm)	(CLUZ) Jin and Zhang
PFPeA, PFPrA, PFBA	220-460	$O_2$	T=25°C, 22 mL, pH 1.5, 0.49 MPa	24	17770 PFPeA, 11039 PFPrA, 14405 PFBA	24.3 PFPeA, 16.1 PFPrA, 16.3 PFBA	12.1 PFPeA, 9.55 PFPrA, 9.66 PFBA	Pseudo-first-order	200 (15.2)	(2002) Hori <i>et al.</i> (2007b)
Direct photolysis of polyfl 4:2 FTUCA	uoroalkyl compo 220–460	ounds O <sub>2</sub>	T = 25°C, 20 mL, pH 2.0–3.5, 0.49 MPa	б	175	92	11.4	Pseudo-first-order	200 (41)	Hori <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
Environmental matrices PFOA	254 and 185	$\mathbf{N}_2$	T=23°C, pH 7.82, Neya River water T=23°C, nH 7.76	<i>რ</i> რ		37.0 56 9	3.1 10.6	Pseudo-first-order Pseudo-first-order	20 (4.9) 20 (4 9)	Giri <i>et al.</i> (2012) Giri <i>et al.</i>
			Tap water	,						(2012)

<sup>a</sup>Estimated from figure in article.

		TABLE 5.	Рно	focatalysis and Oxida	IO NOIL	? Per- and	Polyfluoroai	CKYL SUF	<b>STANCES</b>		
Compound	Catalyst (g/L)	l (nm)	Gas	Conditions	Time (h)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	% Removal	% F	Kinetics	Energy, W (light intensity, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	References
Photocatalysis of PFNA	Perfluoroalkyl compound TiO2 RdH (0.66)	ds 254	$0_2$	T=25°C, pH 0.9–1.1, 15 g/L HCIO <sub>4</sub>	7	50	66	NA	Pseudo-first-order	16 (0.45)	Panchangam et al.
PFOA	TiO <sub>2</sub> RdH (0.66)	254	$O_2$	T=25°C, pH 1.5–1.3, 7.5 g/L HClO <sub>4</sub>	٢	50	86	NA	Pseudo-first-order	16 (0.45)	Panchangam
	P25 TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	254	NA	$T = 25^{\circ}C, 100 \text{ mL}$	4	2,070	nd <sup>a</sup>	$21^{a}$	Pseudo-first-order	NA (600)	(2009a) Ochiai <i>et al.</i> (2011b)
	P25 TiO <sub>2</sub> (0.66)	310-400	NA	$T = 30^{\circ}C$	9	1,656	30	22	Pseudo-first-order	500 (7.5)	Sansotera et al.
	P25 TiO <sub>2</sub> (0.66)	315-400	NA	$T = 30^{\circ}C$	6	1,656	32	29	Pseudo-first-order	500 (9.5)	Gatto et al.
	TiO <sub>2</sub> /Ni-Cu (Sheet)	254	$\mathbf{N}_2$	250mL, 14.2 Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	9	25	pu	85.6	NA	23 (NA)	Chen et al. $(2006)$
	10:1 TiO <sub>2</sub> :MWCNT	365	Air	$T = 23^{\circ}C, 250 \text{ mL}, \text{ pH}$	8	30	nd, 94, 58 <sup>b</sup>	NA	NA	300 (NA)	Song et al.
	(1.0) Fe-TiO <sub>2</sub> (0.5)	254	NA	$T = 25^{\circ}$ C, pH 5.0	12	50	69	6	Pseudo-first-order 4	00 (120,000 lux)	$\begin{array}{c} (2012) \\ \text{Chen et al.} \\ (2015) \end{array}$
	Cu-TiO <sub>2</sub> (0.5)	254	NA	T=25°C, pH 5.0	12	50	91	19	Pseudo-first-order 40	00 (120,000 lux)	Chen et al. $(2002)$
	$\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (0.5)	254	$\mathbf{N}_2$	$T = 23^{\circ}C$ , 100 mL, , $T = 23^{\circ}C$ , 2.0 mL,	3	31	98.8	31.6	First-order	15 (NA)	(C102)
	$\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (0.5,	254	$O_2$	рп 4.0, У.Э.У. 2013 T=25°C, 150 mL, pH 4.7	3	0.5	pu	61	Pseudo-first-order	14 (NA)	(2012) Shao <i>et al.</i>
	Fe(III) [0.02 Fe-(SO ).1	254	$\mathbf{O}_2$	$T = 20-25^{\circ}C, 500 \text{ mL},$	4	20	78.9	38.7	First-order	23 (NA)	Wang <i>et al.</i>
	Fe(III) [0.02 Fe-(SO.)-1	254	$\mathbf{N}_2$	$T = 20-25^{\circ}C$ , 500 mL,	4	20	40	13.4	<b>First-order</b>	23 (NA)	Wang et al.
	Fe2(304)31 Fe(III) [0.02 Fe2(SO.)21	254	Air	$T = 20 - 25^{\circ}C$ , 500 mL, pH 3 5-4 0	4	20	73.6	35.1	First-order	23 (NA)	Wang <i>et al.</i>
	UV-Fenton [0.112 Fe(II)]	254	Air	200 mL, pH 3.0, 1.02	5	8.28	$98^{a}$	$52^{\mathrm{a}}$	2 stage	9 (NA)	Tang et al.
	UV-Fenton [0.224 EadIIV]	254	Air	200mL, pH 3.0, 1.36	24	8.28	NA	26	2 stage	9 (NA)	Tang et al. $(2013)$
	Catalyzed H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> propagations [0.2	None	NA	T = 20°C, 40 mL, pH 3.5, 34 g/L H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	2.5	0.1	89	NA	NA	None	$\begin{array}{c} (2012) \\ \text{Mitchell} \\ et al. \\ (2014) \end{array}$
	VUV-Fe(III) [0.005 Fe(1.5H-O)	254 and 185	None	Room temp., 500 mL, nH 3 41	4	14.9	51.21	50	Pseudo-first-order	12 (NA)	Cheng <i>et al.</i> $(2014)$
	Indium oxide (In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ,	254	$\mathbf{O}_2$	$T = 25^{\circ}C, 400 \text{ mL},$	4	41.4	83.1	33.7	Pseudo-first-order	23 (NA)	Li et al.
	Persulfate $(0.407 \text{ K}_2 \text{S}_2 \text{O}_8)$	254 and 185	$O_2$	$T = 25^{\circ}C, 1,000 \text{ mL}$	7	25	92.6	68.2	Pseudo-first-order	23 (NA)	Chen and Zhang (2006)

References	Hori <i>et al.</i>	Cao et al.	Cao et al.	Phan Thi et al.	Hori <i>et al.</i> $(2004)$	Wang et al.	Wang et al.	Panchangam	(2009a) Hori <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	Yamamoto <i>et al.</i> (2007)	Hori et al.	(2007a) Hori <i>et al.</i>	(2015a) Yang <i>et al.</i> (2014)	Li <i>et al.</i> (2012b)	Shao <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Energy, W (light intensity, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	200 (NA)	23 (62–69)	23 (62–69)	400 (120,000 lux)	200 (NA)	23 (62–69)	23 (62–69)	16 (0.45)	200 (15.2)	32 (3.73)	200 (41)	500 (0.79)	300 (NA)	23 (NA)	14 (NA)
Kinetics	NA	Pseudo-first-order	Pseudo-first-order	Pseudo-first-order	NA	NA	NA	Pseudo-first-order	Pseudo-first-order	Pseudo-first-order	NA	Pseudo-first-order	Pseudo-first-order	NA	Pseudo-first-order
$\% F^-$	59.1	17	13	82.3	70.7	30	45 <sup>a</sup>	NA	PFPeA 69.2 PFPrA 58.4 PEPA 46.5	NA NA	54.8	$7^{\mathrm{a}}$	$30^{a}$	NA	66
% Removal	pu	70	60	pu	9.66	pu	pu	pu	PFPeA 64.5 PFPrA 61.3 DEPA 40.0	17.DA 49.9 92	pu	$49^{a}$	95.8	80 <sup>a</sup>	pu
[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	559	4.14	4.14	50	559	51.4	51.4	50	PFPeA 17770 PFPrA 11039 DEP A 14405	20 20	175	1,431	45	41.4	0.5
$Time_{(h)}$	4	7	7	12	24	9	9	٢	24	240	ŝ	70	1.3	4	3
Conditions	$T = 25^{\circ}C$ , $22 \text{ mL}$ , H = 3.0, 3.1, 0.48  MP.	NA	NA	T=25°C, pH 8.96–8.3, 0.075% H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	$T = 20-25^{\circ}C$ , 22 mL, 0.48 MPa	$T = 30^{\circ}C, 500 \text{ mL}$	$T = 30^{\circ}C, 500 mL$	T=25°C, pH 0.9–1.0, 15 g/L HClO <sub>4</sub>	T = 25°C, 22 mL, pH 1.5, 0.49 MPa	$T = 38-50^{\circ}C$ , 750 mL	$T = 25^{\circ}C, 20 \text{ mL},$	$p_{H} = 25^{\circ}C, 22 \text{ mL}$	$T = 20^{\circ}C, 250 mL$	T = 25°C, pH 4.0, secondary effluent,	8 mg/L-h O <sub>3</sub> T=25°C, 150 mL, pH 4.3, secondary effluent
Gas	$\mathbf{O}_2$	Air	Air	NA	$O_2$	$\mathbf{O}_2$	$\mathbf{N}_2$	$O_2$	$O_2$	$\mathrm{N}_2$	$O_2$	Ar	NA	$O_2$	$0_2$
λ (nm)	220-460	254	254 and 185	254	220-460	254 and 185	254 and 185	254	220-460	254	unds 220–460	385-470	NA	254	254 and 185
Catalyst (g/L)	Persulfate $(13.5)$	Aqueous periodate	Aqueous periodate	Aqueous carbonate (3.36 NaHCO <sub>3</sub> )	Tungstic heteropolyacid	Persulfate $(0.027)$	Na <sub>2</sub> S (0.001)	TiO <sub>2</sub> RdH (0.66)	A, $\operatorname{Fe}^{3+}(2.62 \operatorname{Fe}_2)$ (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ·7.5H <sub>2</sub> O)	Alkaline 2-propanol (3.63)	f polyfluoroalkyl compou Persulfate (3.38	$W_{3}^{2,2}(0.136)$ and $W_{3}^{2,2}(0.136)$ and $W_{2,2}^{2,2}(0.136)$ and	$H_2O_2 (30\%)$	natrices In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (0.5)	$\beta$ -Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (0.5 Sheaf- like)
Compound						PFDA			PFPeA, PFPr≜ PFBA	PFOS	Photocatalysis of 4:2 FTUCA		6:2 FTS	Environmental n PFOA	

TABLE 5. (CONTINUED)

<sup>a</sup>Estimated from figure in article. <sup>b</sup>% Removal matches order of pH values. <sup>c</sup>Also tested other reductive reagents: CH<sub>3</sub>OH and  $C_2O_4^{2-}$ . nd, not detected (below detection limit).

References	Song et al.	Vellanki et al.	Vellanki et al.	Park	Qu et al.	Qu et al.	Zhang et al. (2014)	Park et al. (2009)	Qu <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Energy, W (light intensity, $mW \cdot cm^{-2}$ )	10 (NA)	NA	NA	8 (NA)	15 (NA)	15 (NA)	15 (NA)	8 (NA)	15 (NA)
Kinetics	NA	NA	NA	Pseudo- first-order	First-order	Pseudo- first-order	NA	Pseudo- first-order	NA
$\% \ F^-$	68.6	NA	NA	1.4	76.8	69.8	80.91	$2.9, 3.1, 17, \\7.1, 1.9$	NA
% Removal	NA	0-10	0-10	$15^{a}$	93.9	nd <sup>a</sup>	nd <sup>a</sup>	$5^{a}, 15^{a}, 35^{a}, 15^{a}, 5^{a}, 15^{a}, 5^{a}$	$\begin{array}{c} 95.9,\ 62.0,\\ 49.7,\ 41.5,\\ 44.3,\ 45.7\end{array}$
[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	8.28	NA	NA	10	10.35	10.35	8.28	10	$\begin{array}{c} 6,0.4,0.05,\\ 0.04,0.02,\\ 0.04\end{array}$
Time (h)	9	20	20	2.5	9	9	9	2.5	12
Conditions	T = 25°C, 200 mL, pH 10.3	NA	NA	pH 6.0–8.0	T = room temp. 740 mL. pH 9.0	T = room temp.	$T = 40^{\circ}C$ , 740 mL, pH 9, 0.15 g/L NaCI	T = room temp. pH 6.0–8.0	Wastewater from fluorochemical plant in Jiangsu Province, China
Gas	$N_2$	$\mathbf{N}_2$	$\mathbf{N}_2$	Ar	$\mathbf{N}_2$	$\mathrm{N}_2$	$\mathrm{N}_2$	Ar	$\mathrm{N}_2$
λ (nm)	254	254 or 311	254 or 311	254	254	254	254	254	254
Catalyst (g/L)	Sodium sulfite (2.5 SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )	Sodium sulfite $(NA SO_3^{2-})$	Dithionite $(NA S_2O_4^{2^-})$	Aqueous iodide (1.66 KI)	Aqueous iodide (0.05 KI)	Aqueous iodide	Aqueous iodide (0.1 KI)	Aqueous iodide (1.66 KI)	ices Aqueous iodide (0.05 KI)
Compound	PFOA							PFHxA, PFBA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFBS	Environmental matr. PFOA, PFHpA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFBA, PFPrA

TABLE 6. ADVANCED REDUCTION PROCESSES FOR DESTRUCTION OF PERFLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES

<sup>a</sup>Estimated from figure in article.

				[DEAC]		0%			
Compound	Temp $(^{\circ}C)$	Additive (g/L)	Conditions	(mg/L)	Time $(h)$	Removal	$\% F^-$	Kinetics	References
High-voltage electric dis PFOA	charge 20	NA	10  mL. DC current = 7 mA.	240	1.7	NA	95	NA	Yasuoka <i>et al.</i> (2010)
			O <sub>2</sub> gas (0.8 mL/s)						
	22	NA	$20 \text{ mL}$ , DC current= $10 \text{ mA}$ , $O_{2} \text{ mag} (1.8 \text{ m} I/6)$	65	2.5	nd <sup>a</sup>	NA	First-order	Takeuchi et al. (2014)
	80	$27 \text{ K}_2 \text{S}_2 \text{O}_8$	200  mL,  DC current = 10  mA, 0.00  mL, 0.00  mL, 0.00  mL, 0.00  mL, 0.00  mL, 0.00  mA, 0.00  mL, 0.00  mA, 0.00  mL, 0.00  mA, 0.00  mL, 0.00  mL, 0.00  mA, 0.	39	3	$\mathrm{nd}^{\mathrm{a}}$	NA	First-order	Takeuchi et al. (2014)
	NA	NA	$U_2$ gas (1.8 mL/s) 20 mL, DC current= 10 mA,	41.4	3	98	94.5	NA	Hayashi et al. (2015)
PFOS	25	NA	$O_2 \text{ gas } (1.8 \text{ mL/s})$ 50 mL, DC current = 7 mA,	50	4	NA	55	NA	Yasuoka et al. (2011)
	NA	NA	$O_2$ gas (1.8 mL/s) 20 mL, DC current= 10 mA, $O_{222}$ (1.8 mL/s)	60	8	pu	70	NA	Hayashi et al. (2015)
Forafac 1110	NA	3 TiO <sub>2</sub> (rutile, SA <sub>specific</sub>	O <sub>2</sub> gas (1.5 mL/s) NTP, 180 mL, pH 2.5–6.9, energy = 100 W	200	-	97	21	Pseudo- first-order	Marouf-Khelifa et al. (2008)
	NA	>>0 m /g) 0.2 TiO <sub>2</sub> (anatase, SA <sub>specific</sub>	NTP, 180 mL, pH 2.4-4.8, energy = 100 W	200	П	97.5	28	Pseudo- first-order	Marouf-Khelifa <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	NA	0 (B) 11017	NTP, 180 mL, pH 1.4–3.87, energy = 100 W	200	9	96.7	49	Pseudo- first-order	Marouf-Khelifa et al. (2008)
Incineration APFO (FC-143)	196, 206, 215, 224, 234	NA	<sup>19</sup> F NMR with 10 mm probe	67 mg	$\begin{array}{c} 0.66,\ 0.32,\ 0.22,\ 0.08,\ 0.07\end{array}$	50	NA	First-order	Krusic and Roe (2004)
Thermal chemical PFOA	85	$2.4 \text{ Na}_2 \text{S}_2 \text{O}_8$	40 mL, pH 7.1	0.2	30	93.5	43.6	Pseudo-	Liu et al. (2012a)
	40	$48 \mathrm{~Na_2S_2O_8}$	1,000mL, pH 2.5	100	72	nd <sup>a</sup>	67.1	first-order Pseudo-	Lee et al. (2012b)
PFNA, PFOA, PFHPA, PFHxA,	80	13.5 K <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	10 mL, 0.78 MPa synthetic air	141, 155, 136, 113, 000	9	nd, nd, nd, nd, nd	88.9, 77.5, 75.7, 82.8,	nrst-oraer Pseudo- first-order	Hori <i>et al.</i> (2008a)
PFPEA PFOS	006	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	Heat rate 20°C /min	99 8.65 mg	0.5	NA	68/. 90/	NA	Wang and Shih (2011)
PFOA	90	$1.19 \text{ Na}_2 \text{S}_2 \text{O}_8$	18 psi, 50 mL, pH 2,	105	4	79.1	$70^{a}$	Pseudo-	Lee et al. (2009)
	06	1.19 Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> , 0.2 zero-	energy = /o w 40 psi, 50 mL	100	7	67.6 <sup>a</sup>	22.5 <sup>a</sup>	Pseudo- first-order	Lee et al. (2010)
	06	valent from $2.7 \text{ Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$	40 psi, 50 mL, pH 2.3, energy = 70 W	105	4	85.7	32 <sup>a</sup>	Pseudo- first-order	Lee et al. (2012a)

Table 7. Thermal and Nonthermal Degradation of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

			IABLE /. (C	CONTINUED	(				
Compound	Temp (°C)	Additive (g/L)	Conditions	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	Time (h)	% Removal	$\phi_{\!o}~F^-$	Kinetics	References
Subcritical PFOS	350	54 Fe powder	23.3 MPa, 10 mL, Argon-	186	9	66<	51.4	Pseudo-	Hori et al. (2006)
	350	62 Zn powder	saturated solution 23.3 MPa, 10 mL, Argon-	186	9	76.9	18.5	first-order NA	Hori <i>et al.</i> (2006)
	350	61 Cu powder	saturated solution 23.3 MPa, 10 mL, Argon-	186	9	15.3	6.78	NA	Hori et al. (2006)
	350	25 Al powder	saturated solution 23.3 MPa, 10 mL, Argon-	186	9	6.4	0.05	NA	Hori et al. (2006)
	350	Antireflective	saturated solution 23.3 MPa, 10 mL, Argon-	55.9	9	NA	46.7	NA	Hori et al. (2006)
PFHxS	350	coating agent 54 Fe powder	saturated solution 23.3 MPa, 10 mL, Argon-	146	9	95.33	52.9	NA	Hori et al. (2006)
	350	54 Fe powder	saturated solution 22 MPa, 8 mL, pH 4.3–6.1,	150.4	9	84.7	30.2	NA	Hori et al. (2008b)
PFBS	350	54 Fe powder	Argon-saturated solution 23.3 MPa, 10 mL, Argon-	110	9	96.34	62.3	NA	Hori et al. (2006)
Nafion NRE-212	350	54 Fe powder	saturated solution 17.6 MPa, 10 mL, Argon-	2,980	9	nd	63.9	NA	Hori et al. (2010)
$[(C_4F_9SO_2)_2N]^-$	344	54 Fe powder	saturated solution 16.5 MPa, 10 mL, Argon-	454	9	98.65	69	NA	Hori et al. (2013b)
$^{-}\mathrm{O}_{3}\mathrm{SC}_{3}\mathrm{F}_{6}\mathrm{SO}_{3}^{-}$	350	54 Zerovalent iron	saturated solution 17.4 MPa, 10 mL, Argon- saturated solution	456	9	pu	70	NA	Hori et al. (2015)
Supercritical PFOA	50	1008 Nitric acid	20.3 MPa, 12 mL,	0.83	0.67	80	NA	NA	Chen et al. (2012)
PFOS	50	1008 Nitric acid and	0.5-1 mL/min CO <sub>2</sub> 20.3 MPa, 12 mL, 0.5-1 mL/min CO <sub>2</sub>	0.83	0.67	55	NA	NA	Chen et al. (2012)
PFHxS	380	20% Methanol 54 Fe powder	26.4 MPa, 8 mL, pH 4.3–6.1,	296.5	9	94.77	47.1	NA	Hori et al. (2008b)
$^{-}\mathrm{O}_{3}\mathrm{SC}_{3}\mathrm{F}_{6}\mathrm{SO}_{3}^{-}$	380	70 FeO	argon-saturated solution 0.6 MPa, 10 mL, Argon- saturated solution	0.46	9	pu	89	NA	Hori et al. (2015)
Environmental matrices PFOS	400	0.3 g Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	0.7 g WWTP Dried Sludge	0.016-	0.25	NA	70	NA	Wang et al. (2013)
FTOH-based acrylic polymer	1,000	NA	(Hong Kong) 1.88 cm <sup>3</sup> , residence time=2 s, air flow=0.94 mL/s	و 2007.0 1.6 mg	NA	6.66	NA	NA	Yamada <i>et al.</i> (2005)

TABLE 7. (CONTINUED)

<sup>a</sup>Estimated from figure in article.

		TABLE 0. DUNULTIN DEUT	NITEMEN	IN OL TEN- VI		NUALNIL JUBSIANCES			
Compound	Frequency (kHz)	Conditions	$Time_{(h)}$	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	% Removal	Kinetics	Half life (min)	Power density (W/L)	References
PFOA	200	$T = 20^{\circ}C$ , 60 mL, pH 3.5–4.8,	1	10	85	Pseudo-first-order	22	NA	Moriwaki et al. (2005)
	354	T = $10^{\circ}$ C, 600 mL, pH 7.0-	7	0.1	NA	Pseudo-first-order	16.9	250	Vecitis et al. (2008b)
	358	o.0, Argon T = 10°C, 600 mL, Argon	ю	0.01–82.8 <sup>b</sup>	nd-70 <sup>a,b</sup>	Pseudo-first-order (0.01–2.7 mg/L);	NA	250	Vecitis et al. (2008a)
	612	T = 10°C 600 mL nH 7 9	0	010	Ν	Zero-order (14.5–82.8 mg/L) Pseudo-first-order	٩N	250	Cheng <i>et al</i> (2010)
			ı <del>.</del>		-	J T L			
	40	T = 25°C, 1,000 mL, pH 8.65, Ν, σac. 2.52 σ/L NaHCO <sub>2</sub>	4	00	nd	Pseudo-first-order	NA	001	Phan Thi <i>et al.</i> (2014)
PFOS	200	$T = 20^{\circ}C$ , 60 mL, pH 3.5–4.8, Arrow	1	10	60	Pseudo-first-order	43	NA	Moriwaki et al. (2005)
	354	T = $10^{\circ}$ C, 600 mL, pH 7.0- 8.0 Arrow	7	0.1	NA	Pseudo-first-order	25.7	250	Vecitis et al. (2008b)
	358	0.0, Argon T = 10°C, 600 mL, Argon	3	0.01–100 <sup>b</sup>	98–70 <sup>a.b</sup>	Pseudo-first-order (0.01-7 mg/L); Zero-order (19.5-100 mg/L)	NA	250	Vecitis et al. (2008a)
	600	T = $10^{\circ}$ C, 400 mL, pH 6.2, VUV (185 nm, 27 mW/ $cm^{2}$ ) Air	4	10	nd <sup>a</sup>	Pseudo-first-order	NA	250	Yang et al. (2013)
	612	$T = 10^{\circ}C$ , 600 mL, pH 7.9,	7	0.10	NA	Pseudo-first-order	NA	250	Cheng et al. (2010)
AFFF FC-600 PFOS PFHxA	505 358	$T = 10^{\circ}C$ , 400 mL, Argon $T = 10^{\circ}C$ , 600 mL, pH 7,	00	$1.10 \\ 0.10$	$95^a$	Exponential decay Pseudo-first-order	NA 16.8	$\frac{187.5}{250}$	Vecitis et al. (2010) Campbell et al. (2009)
PFHxS	358	T = $10^{\circ}$ C, 600 mL, pH 7,	7	0.10	86	Pseudo-first-order	23.2	250	Campbell et al. (2009)
AFFF FC-600 PFHxS PFBA	505 358	$T = 10^{\circ}C$ , 400 mL, Argon $T = 10^{\circ}C$ , 600 mL, pH 7, $\Lambda - 200$	00	$0.21 \\ 0.10$	40 <sup>a</sup> 57	NA Pseudo-first-order	NA 57.2	187.5 250	Vecitis et al. (2010) Campbell et al. (2009)
PFBS	358	T = $10^{\circ}$ C, 600 mL, pH 7,	7	0.10	99	Pseudo-first-order	42.3	250	Campbell et al. (2009)
AFFF FC-600 PFBS NFDOHA	505 28	T = $10^{\circ}C$ , 400 mL, Argon T = $10^{\circ}C$ , 15 L/min, 6L, 2.7 g/L K <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> , argon	24	0.08 15	40 <sup>a</sup> 98	NA Pseudo-first-order	NA NA	187.5 25	Vecitis <i>et al.</i> (2010) Hori <i>et al.</i> (2012)

TABLE 8. SONOLYTIC DEGRADATION OF PER- AND POLYFLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES

					(110)				
Compound	Frequency (kHz)	Conditions	Time (h)	[PFAS] <sub>0</sub> (mg/L)	% Removal	Kinetics	Half life (min)	Power density (W/L)	References
Environmental matrices PFOA									
Groundwater (Oakdale MN)	612	$T = 11.7^{\circ}C$ , pH 7.9, 600 mL, Aroon TOC 1.5 mo/L.	0	0.10	NA	Pseudo-first-order	NA	250	Cheng et al. (2010)
Landfill Groundwater (Oakdale, MN)	354	T = $10^{\circ}$ C, pH 6.9-7.9, 600 mL, Argon, TOC	7	0.10	NA	Pseudo-first-order	NA	250	Cheng <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Landfill Groundwater (Oakdale, MN)	354	T = 10°C, pH 6.9–7.9, 600 mL, 2.5% v/v O <sub>3</sub> , Argon, TOC 20 mg/L	0	0.10	NA	Pseudo-first-order	NA	250	Cheng <i>et al.</i> (2008)
PFOS									
Groundwater (Oakdale MN)	612	$T = 11.7^{\circ}C$ , pH 7.9, 600 mL, Aroon TOC 1.5 mo/L.	0	0.10	NA	Pseudo-first-order	NA	250	Cheng et al. (2010)
Landfill Groundwater (Oakdale, MN)	354	T = $10^{\circ}$ C, pH 6.9–7.9, 600 mL, Argon, TOC	7	0.10	NA	Pseudo-first-order	NA	250	Cheng et al. (2008)
Landfill Groundwater (Oakdale, MN)	354	T = 10°C, pH 6.9–7.9, 600 mL, 2.5% v/v O <sub>3</sub> , Argon, TOC 20 mg/L	0	0.10	NA	Pseudo-first-order	NA	250	Cheng et al. (2008)
<sup>a</sup> Estimated from figure in arti	cle.								

TABLE 8. (CONTINUED)

<sup>b</sup>Min-max conditions; ranges for decomposition and defluorination ratio correspond to the minimum and maximum, respectively.

the importance of electrostatic interactions,  $\sim 90\%$  PFOS removal was observed on negatively charged silica, regardless of changes in pH, ionic strength, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration (Tang et al., 2010). Hydrophobic interactions likely occur between the perfluoroalkyl tail and the hydrophobic surfaces of the sorbent, especially for longer C-F chain lengths (Higgins and Luthy, 2006; Zhou et al., 2010a; Zhang et al., 2011, 2013a; Du et al., 2015). The addition of each extra CF<sub>2</sub> moiety increases the hydrophobicity of PFASs, resulting in increased sorption of longer-chain PFASs. Longer chains may also outcompete shorter chains in sorption processes (Xiao et al., 2011; Du et al., 2015). In addition, perfluorosulfonic acids (PFSAs), such as PFOS, contain one more C-F bond compared with the corresponding perfluorocarboxylic acid (PFCA), such as PFOA, resulting in stronger hydrophobic properties and increased sorption of PFSAs compared with PFCAs (Zhou et al., 2010b).

#### Sorption processes: activated carbon

Granular activated carbon (GAC) and PAC are two of the most studied adsorbents for PFASs. Activated carbons (ACs) have a porous structure with strong heterogeneous surfaces and has been used to sorb various compounds due to its low cost and versatility (Marsh and Reinoso, 2006). ACs are produced from almost any carbonaceous materials, and current research has analyzed PACs, BioNuchar, activated carbon fibers (ACF15, ACF20, ACF25), Ambersorb563, bamboo-derived AC (BAC), and several commercial GACs, including Filtrasorb (F) 300, F400, F600, URV-MOD1, 1240C, 43765, and 43767 (Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra-Alvarez, 2008; Qu *et al.*, 2009; Yu *et al.*, 2009; Appleman *et al.*, 2013; Du *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Schuricht *et al.*, 2014; Pramanik *et al.*, 2015; Zhi and Liu, 2015).

GACs were most effective at sorbing longer alkyl chain lengths with more C–F bonds (e.g., PFOS > PFBS and PFOS > PFOA) (Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra-Alvarez, 2008; Carter and Farrell, 2010; Senevirathna et al., 2010). Furthermore, GACs with higher surface areas and larger micropores to facilitate diffusion of PFASs, such as BAC, are more advantageous (Du et al., 2015). Similarly, PAC improves PFAS sorption compared with GAC due to its larger surface area and increased sorption sites (Ochoa-Herrera and Sierra-Alvarez, 2008; Qu et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2009; Bao et al., 2014; Pramanik et al., 2015). For example, PAC was combined with a membrane bioreactor (PAC-MBR) consisting of synthetic wastewater and seed sludge from a municipal wastewater treatment plant and effectively removed 94.8% PFOS and 90.6% PFOA only when PAC was added (Yu et al., 2014). In addition, AC absorption has been recommended by the New Jersey Drinking Water Quality Institute Treatment Subcommittee (Cummings et al., 2015) as one of the most effective treatment options for removal of PFNA, PFOA, and PFOS. Municipalities and industrial treatment facilities in the United States and Europe have carried out few AC absorption case studies with successful performance cited by the recommendation.

Sorbent properties strongly influence the sorption of PFASs. Sorbents made of synthetic polymers were observed to be more effective than those made of natural materials and followed the perfluoroalkyl acid (PFAA) sorption efficiency trend of ACF20 (activated carbon fiber) > AquaNuchar > Ambersorb 563 > F400 or 1240C > WVB or BioNC (Bio-

Nuchar) (Zhi and Liu, 2015). Sorbent macroscopic size was also the dominant factor controlling adsorption uptake. In addition to size, carbon surface chemistry [e.g., basicity and pH point of zero charge (pH<sub>pzc</sub>)] also affected uptake, but acidity and oxygen content of the sorbents did not.

#### Sorption processes: ion exchange

Ion exchange can be more efficient than GAC (Lampert *et al.*, 2007; Carter and Farrell, 2010; Senevirathna *et al.*, 2010; Schuricht *et al.*, 2014). Lampert *et al.* (2007) observed greater removal with six different ion-exchange resins compared with four different other methods: AC adsorption, adsorption onto calcium fluoride solids, evaporation, and liquid–liquid extraction. For example, US Filter A-714 removed PFOS to <1 mg/L (PFOS<sub>0</sub>=151 mg/g·resin) and PFOA to 13 mg/L (PFOA<sub>0</sub>=686 mg/g·resin). Shorter removal times have been observed with Amberlite IRA-458 (Carter and Farrell, 2010), Amberlite IRA-400 (Yu *et al.*, 2009; Senevirathna *et al.*, 2010), Dow Marathon A (Senevirathna *et al.*, 2010), Amberlite XAD-7HP (Xiao *et al.*, 2012), AS-F860 (Schuricht *et al.*, 2014), AS-F500 (Schuricht *et al.*, 2014).

Ion-exchange resins need to be regenerated. This can be done with a small percentage of NaCl or NaOH and a large percentage of methanol (Senevirathna et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2012; Du et al., 2015). For example, Du et al. (2015) used 1% NaCl in 70% methanol mixture and reused Amberlite IRA 67 for at least five cycles with very little decrease in removal effectiveness for PFOA, perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA), and perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA). A larger amount of methanol would be needed to remove longer-chain PFASs (Xiao et al., 2012). To improve removal of PFASs. ion-exchange columns could be run in series with regeneration occurring in every other column. In addition, other factors that need to be considered include the pH<sub>pzc</sub> of the resin and pH of the solution. For example, Xiao et al. (2012) observed increased PFOS removal for Amberlite XAD-7HP  $(pH_{pzc} = 6.2)$  with decreasing pH (4.8–7.8) due to increased electrostatic attraction.

#### Sorption processes: other sorbents

PFAS sorption has been studied with 12 other sorbents: molecular imprinted polymers (MIPs) (Yu *et al.*, 2008; Deng *et al.*, 2009; Zhang *et al.*, 2013a), cationic/anionic surfactants (Pan *et al.*, 2009), multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) (Li *et al.*, 2011; Kwadijk *et al.*, 2013), modified organo-montmorillonite (Zhou *et al.*, 2013a), silica-based adsorbents (Zhou *et al.*, 2013b), black carbon (Chen *et al.*, 2009), magnetic mesoporous carbon nitride (MMCN) (Yan *et al.*, 2013, 2014), polymeric adsorbents (Schuricht *et al.*, 2014), mesoporous molecular sieves (Nassi *et al.*, 2014), metalorganic frameworks (Liu *et al.*, 2015), electrocoagulation (Lin *et al.*, 2015), and permanently confined micelle arrays (PCMAs) (Wang *et al.*, 2014a).

Only a few of these sorbents are promising, such as MWCNTs, MIPs, MMCNs, and sorption with electrochemical assistance. MWCNTs need to be combined with electrochemical assistance (Li *et al.*, 2011) or electrospun nanofibrous membranes (Dai *et al.*, 2013) to have efficient removal, but regeneration of MWCNTs requires 90°C to achieve only 85% PFOA and PFOS release. Similarly, MIPs require 40°C and NaOH/acetone (Yu *et al.*, 2008) and can only be used for targeted PFASs due to polymer-specific manufacturing.

The most favorable sorption technology for Other Sorbents is electrochemical assistance combined with zinc sheet (anode) and stainless steel (cathode) in an electrocoagulation reactor (Lin et al., 2015). PFOA and PFOS were removed to below detection limits within 20 min, and sorption equilibrium was reached within 10 min due to production of zinc hydroxide flocs. This method may be a cost-effective and safe adsorption removal technology for PFASs since it is currently being utilized at wastewater treatment facilities and only needs simple easy-to-operate equipment and low maintenance costs. The energy consumption was 0.18 Wh/L and low concentrations (0.88 mg/L) of residual zinc ions were detected (US EPA drinking water limit for zinc ions is 5 mg/L). However, electrocoagulation can result in formation of chlorinated organic compounds (e.g., trihalomethanes) and bad taste and odor (Mollah et al., 2004).

#### Sorption processes: summary

Sorption of PFASs has been shown to be an effective removal method, especially when using AC or ion exchange. For more information on PFAS sorption techniques, Du *et al.* (2014) have published a detailed review. While sorption can be cost-effective, treatment processes should consider sorbent regeneration and further destruction of sorbed PFASs. For example, AC can only be moderately regenerated using methanol or ethanol, and subsequent reuse can result in decreased removal percentages (Senevirathna *et al.*, 2010; Punyapalakul *et al.*, 2013; Chularueangaksorn *et al.*, 2014; Du *et al.*, 2015).

More research is needed on (1) sorption of other PFASs and PFAS mixtures and (2) the influence of environmental matrices (e.g., inorganic ion concentration, organic matter content), mixtures of PFASs, and cocontaminants. For example, environmental matrices, such as soil, sediment, and sludge from wastewater treatment plants, can impact PFAS sorption treatment methods due to several factors, including compression of the adsorbent's electrical double layer, reduction in electrostatic repulsion between adsorbent and PFASs, and formation of bridges with cations between negatively charged groups and PFASs (Zhou et al., 2010b; Yu et al., 2012; Kwadijk et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013a; Du et al., 2015; Millinovic et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). In addition, competitive adsorption between PFOA, PFHxA, and PFHpA has been shown to negatively affect sorption of each PFCA onto BAC and Amberlite IRA 67 (Du et al., 2015).

### Advanced oxidation processes

AOPs that have been tested for PFAS removal include electrochemical oxidation, photolysis, and photocatalysis. During these processes, strong, oxidizing, and nonselective radicals are generated (including  ${}^{\circ}OH$ ,  $O_2{}^{\circ-}$ ,  $SO_4{}^{\circ-}$ , and  $CO_3{}^{\circ-}$ ) that can attack a variety of xenobiotics, such as pharmaceuticals (Ikehata *et al.*, 2006), phenols and dyes (Ahmed *et al.*, 2011), and trinitrotoluenes (TNTs) (Ayoub *et al.*, 2010).

#### AOPs: electrochemical oxidation

Electrochemical oxidation destroys contaminants through two mechanisms: (1) direct anodic or (2) indirect. When contaminants are destroyed by direct anodic oxidation, contaminants will adsorb onto the anode surface and are destroyed by an electron transfer reaction. In indirect oxidation, contaminants are destroyed in solution by oxidation through strong oxidants generated by cathodic electrochemical reactions. This process has been used to treat many different contaminants, including phenols (Cañizares et al., 2005), dyes (Chen et al., 2003), and endocrine-disrupting chemicals (Murugananthan et al., 2007). Electrochemical oxidation can have long life spans and is versatile, energy efficient, automated, and cost-effective (Jüttner et al., 2000). It can also be used on different volumes of gases, liquids, and solids and is relatively easy and inexpensive to construct and operate electrodes. There are a wide variety of electrode materials, including Pt, IrO<sub>2</sub>, and RuO<sub>2</sub>, but for PFAS removal, researchers have studied boron-doped diamond (BDD) thin film, Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub>, Ce/PbO<sub>2</sub>, and Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> (Table 3).

Degradation of PFASs through BDD anodes has been the most studied electrochemical method. PFASs will undergo direct anodic oxidation, resulting in one-carbon removal through decarboxylation pathways [Eqs. (S1)–(S8) in Supplementary Table S6] (Zhuo et al., 2012). This pathway continuously repeats and shorter-chain PFASs, fluoride ions, and sulfate ions (PFSAs only) are produced. Hydroxyl radicals formed from water on the BDD anode can also help mineralize PFASs in solution to elemental or inorganic end products [Eqs. (S2) and (S4) in Supplementary Table S6]. Compared with other electrode materials, BDD has a higher oxygen evolution potential, allowing the formation of more hydroxyl radicals at low background currents (Zhu et al., 2008; Panizza, 2010). In addition, hydroxyl radicals are weakly adsorbed to the BDD electrode and should not interfere with the initial PFAS reaction.

BDD thin film electrodes can effectively degrade PFOA, PFBA, PFHxA, perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA), PFBS, perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS), and PFOS under optimized conditions. For example, PFOA degraded by 97% (60% fluoride yield) within 2h (Zhuo et al., 2012). For PFCAs, the defluorination ratios increased with decreasing chain lengths, while defluorination ratios for PFSAs increased with increasing chain length. The degradation of PFSAs also led to formation of shorter-chain PFCAs [Eqs. (S4)–(S8) in Supplementary Table S6]. Similar results were observed using groundwater collected from a former fire service training ground (Trautmann et al., 2015). Greater mineralization of PFOA occurred using the ultrananocrystalline boron-doped conductive diamond electrode (Urtiaga et al., 2015). Other studies on BDD thin film electrodes were not as successful and took much longer to degrade PFOA (Carter and Farrell, 2008; Liao and Farrell, 2009; Ochiai et al., 2011a, 2011c).

A major limitation to BDD thin film electrodes is the cost and difficulty of building BDD compared with other electrode materials (Panizza and Cerisola, 2009). Other studies have explored the use of Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub> anodes (Lin *et al.*, 2012b; Yang *et al.*, 2015), Ce-doped PbO<sub>2</sub> film electrodes (Niu *et al.*, 2012, 2013), and commercially available Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> (Schaefer *et al.*, 2015). For example, 90.3% PFOA degraded (72.9% fluoride yield) to shorter-chain PFCAs and fluoride when using Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub>-Sb anode (Lin *et al.*, 2012b). However, the degradation efficiency decreased when pH increased, plate distance increased, or initial PFOA concentration increased. The service life of Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub> anodes is relatively short, but could be improved upon by doping with SnF<sub>4</sub> (F-doped Ti/ SnO<sub>2</sub>) (Yang *et al.*, 2015).

In general, electrochemical oxidation has some limitations. Production of toxic by-products may occur when treating PFAS-contaminated wastewater mixed with other harmful substances (Trautmann *et al.*, 2015), including chlorine gas, hydrogen fluoride, bromate, perchlorate, and adsorbable organic halides. Future research needs to focus on degradation of different PFASs, including polyfluoroalkyl compounds, over a range of concentrations and determine the degradation pathway. Furthermore, only two studies have observed PFAS destruction when using electrochemical oxidation in the presence of AFFF-impacted or PFAS-contaminated synthetic groundwater (Schaefer *et al.*, 2015; Trautmann *et al.*, 2015). More studies need to be conducted with environmental matrices to determine whether electrochemical oxidation is suitable for PFAS remediation.

#### AOPs: photolysis and photocatalysis

Photolysis and photocatalysis of PFASs involve the use of vacuum ultraviolet (VUV, 100–200 nm) or ultraviolet (UV, 200–400 nm) light (Tables 4 and 5). With the addition of a photocatalyst, such as titania or indium oxide, the ability to remove PFASs can be enhanced. Heterogeneous photocatalytic decomposition occurs when an energy difference is produced between the valence (VB) and conduction band (CB) with light exposure (Coronado *et al.*, 2013), allowing for oxidation–reduction processes to occur. Common products of photocatalysis are hydroxyl radicals, superoxide radicals, and secondary radicals of organic substrates.

For successful photocatalysis of PFASs, several conditions must be considered. The pH of the solution and the  $pH_{pzc}$  of the catalyst with respect to the pKa of the target PFASs are the two main important factors. Generally, the pH<sub>pzc</sub> of the catalyst must be greater than the solution pH to allow for greater contact with PFASs. Other conditions include light wavelength and intensity, initial catalyst and PFAS concentrations, and water quality (e.g., the turbidity of water, total organic matter content, dissolved oxygen concentration, and natural water scavengers, such as bicarbonate). Current studies on photocatalysis of PFASs mainly utilize UV light, but VUV may also effectively decompose PFOA by stepwise radical formation, decarboxylation, HF elimination, and shorter-chain PFAS formation as described by Equations (S9)–(S13) in Supplementary Table S6. Natural sunlight in combination with iron and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or persulfate could effectively decompose PFOA (Liu et al., 2013a). Future studies should optimize conditions for natural sunlight remediation of PFASs and determine whether VUV in combination with photocatalysts can decompose PFASs more efficiently.

In all photolysis and photocatalysis studies, decomposition of PFASs occurs in a stepwise manner. First, a PFAS radical is activated through exposure to (1) direct photolysis, (2) radicals and highly reactive intermediates, or (3) to a semiconductor material with an energy band gap [Eq. (S9) in Supplementary Table S6] (Chen *et al.*, 2007; Hori *et al.*, 2007b; Wang *et al.*, 2008; Song *et al.*, 2012; Tang *et al.*, 2012; Phan Thi *et al.*, 2013). More specific reactions that may take place with different photocatalysts are described in their respective sections. Products include shorter-chain PFASs, formic acid, fluoride ions, sulfate ions, and hydrogen. With longer reaction times, depending on the method used, PFASs can be degraded to low to nondetectable levels.

#### AOPs: direct photolysis

Direct photolysis of PFASs, mainly PFOA, has been studied over a wide range of different gases, wavelengths, and initial PFAS concentrations, but generally under ambient temperatures and acidic conditions. For example, more decomposition of PFOA occurred under oxygen gas compared with argon-saturated solution (Hori et al., 2004). Direct photolysis of PFOA can be improved with the addition of VUV light (185 nm), accompanied by changes in gases, pH, and temperature. Based on the photon energy values of UV and VUV light and the average bond energy, the C-C bonds (bond energy = 347.0 kJ/mol) in PFOA are likely to be cleaved by both 254 nm (photon energy = 471.1 kJ/mol) and 185 nm (photon energy = 646.8 kJ/mol), whereas the C–F bonds (bond energy = 552.0 kJ/mol) are only likely to be cleaved by 185 nm (Giri et al., 2011). However, direct photolysis of PFASs tends to have relatively low removal efficiencies and fluoride yields compared with other processes (Chen and Zhang, 2006; Giri et al., 2011; Phan Thi et al., 2013; Cheng et al., 2014), and remediation strategies using direct photolysis must consider additional treatment methods.

Presence of oxygen could also play an important role in the direct photolysis of PFOA (Giri *et al.*, 2012; Jin *et al.*, 2014). Giri *et al.* (2012) tested various dissolved oxygen (0–36.4 mg/L) concentrations with about 90% VUV and 10% UV light. Less PFOA was decomposed with increasing DO levels. Jin *et al.* (2014) observed similar results for PFOS when using light-emitting 10% VUV and 90% UV. When using oxygen and air gas or nitrogen gas with  $H_2O_2$ , PFOS was not decomposed and there was insignificant fluoride ion production. These observations could indicate other chemical reactions that coexist with direct photolysis. The impact of dissolved oxygen on PFAS decomposition under direct photolysis could be attributed to the scavenging of hydrated electrons, which are formed during VUV water splitting. A detailed summary is discussed in *ARPs*.

The direct photolysis of four other perfluorinated substances [PFOS, perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA), perfluoropropanoic acid (PFPrA), PFBA] (Hori *et al.*, 2007b; Yamamoto *et al.*, 2007) and one polyfluorinated compound (4:2 fluorotelomer unsaturated carboxylic acid, FTUCA) (Hori *et al.*, 2007a) has been examined, mainly as control groups for experiments to analyze heterogeneous photocatalysis. Future studies should analyze whether the optimum conditions for PFOA decomposition could be used to decompose PFAS mixtures efficiently.

# AOPs: titania (TiO<sub>2</sub>) photocatalysis

Photocatalysis of PFOA using titania has been one of the most studied types of heterogeneous photocatalysis reactions. Current studies on PFOA decomposition utilize  $TiO_2$  in anatase and rutile forms due to high band gap energies (3.2 and

3.0 eV, respectively) (Linsebigler *et al.*, 1995; Fujishima *et al.*, 2000; Carp *et al.*, 2004). Two commercially available TiO<sub>2</sub> materials have been used (RdH and P25), as well as home-made TiO<sub>2</sub> using the sol–gel process. One of the more successful studies used P25 TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with 254 nm exposure and observed almost complete PFOA degradation within 4 h based on detection capabilities of LC with a variable wavelength detector (Ochiai *et al.*, 2011b). However, higher wavelengths (315–400 nm) decreased PFOA degradation (Gatto *et al.*, 2015).

Other studies improved TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis of PFOA by (1) combining with perchloric acid and an ultrasonic probe (Panchangam et al., 2009a, 2009b) and (2) doping with iron:nobium (Fe:Nb), MWCNTs, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, or Fe<sup>3+</sup> (Estrellan et al., 2009, 2010; Panchangam et al., 2009a; Song et al., 2012; Sansotera et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Gatto et al., 2015). Certain doped TiO<sub>2</sub> can be effective photocatalysts for PFOA decomposition due to increased lifetime of electronhole pairs and adsorption of compounds to the catalyst surface (Hernández-Alonso, 2013). For example, a 10:1 ratio (TiO<sub>2</sub>:MWCNT) was most effective for PFOA decomposition compared with other ratios (Song et al., 2012). MWCNTs are stable (e.g., acidic and basic conditions) and can also accept electrons and reduce recombination between electron-hole pairs in TiO<sub>2</sub>. Similarly, Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub> decomposed PFOA (91%) within 12h, resulting in greater degradation compared with  $\text{Fe}^{3+}\text{-TiO}_2$  and shorter-chain PFCAs (19% defluorination) (Chen et al., 2015). Thus, while doped TiO<sub>2</sub> can greatly enhance PFOA decomposition, the type of dopant needs to be considered to have high removal and fluoride yields. Future studies should optimize current dopants for higher fluoride yields and consider testing other PFASs and varying conditions (e.g., lower energy lamps, different gases, and different wavelengths).

#### AOPs: other semiconductor material photocatalysis

Gallium oxide ( $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (Zhao *et al.*, 2012; Shao *et al.*, 2013) and indium oxide  $(In_2O_3)$  (Li *et al.*, 2012b) have also been studied for PFAS decomposition and have more potential than TiO<sub>2</sub>. Gallium oxide has a wider bandgap, reduction potential, and pHpzc, but it is more expensive than titania. Compared with TiO<sub>2</sub>, indium oxide promotes faster conversion of PFASs to PFAS radical, but slower conversion of H<sub>2</sub>O and hydroxy groups to hydroxyl radicals. Both semiconductor materials were able to decompose PFOA much faster than undoped TiO<sub>2</sub>. For gallium oxide, within just 45 min, 100% PFOA degradation (based on the detection capabilities of a UPLC-MS/MS) with 61% defluorination yield was observed (Shao et al., 2013). However, special, synthesized gallium oxide nanomaterial containing sheaflike structures must be prepared since commercial gallium oxide decomposed only 38% PFOA in 3 h (Shao et al., 2013). These results were similar to those described by Zhao et al. (2012) for non-nanomaterial gallium oxide. To improve the reaction with non-nanomaterial gallium oxide, a reductive additive at basic pH under N<sub>2</sub> gas is needed, such as  $S_2O_3^{2-1}$ (Zhao et al., 2012).

# AOPs: iron photocatalysis

Iron photolysis is also one of the most studied heterogeneous photocatalysis mechanisms for PFAS decomposition, including PFOA, PFPeA, PFPrA, and PFBA, since iron ions are abundant and relatively cheap. For PFAS decomposition, various iron sources have been used to represent both Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions and have been tested with 12–15% VUV (185 nm) (Cheng *et al.*, 2014) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to produce Fenton reaction. Other metal ions were also tested for their ability to decompose PFOA, including Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, and Zn<sup>2+</sup>, but could only decompose 4.2–7.4% PFOA within 4 h (Wang *et al.*, 2008).

Oxygen plays an important role in PFOA decomposition by iron photocatalysis, similar to direct photolysis, and more PFOA decomposition occurred with oxygen gas compared with air or nitrogen gas. When using  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ions [as  $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ ] with oxygen gas, 78.9% PFOA decomposed (38.7% defluorination yield) within 4 h compared with air and nitrogen gas (Wang *et al.*, 2008). The importance of oxygen and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ions for iron photolysis of PFOA can be explained by a likely reaction pathway that involves formation of PFOA and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ complex and oxidation of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  by oxygen [Eqs. (S14)–(S17) in Supplementary Table S6]. Other  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ion sources, such as  $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 7.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CIO}_4)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and  $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , could affect PFAS degradation efficiencies (Hori *et al.*, 2007b).

Iron photocatalysis was greatly improved with the addition of hydrogen peroxide, producing UV-Fenton reactions. Generally, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> will decompose Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions without a light source present, but the reaction [Eqs. (S18)–(S22) in Supplementary Table S6] increases with UV-Vis irradiation, especially with the regeneration of Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions (Chong *et al.*, 2010). Within 1h, 87.9% PFOA was decomposed (25.8% defluorination yield) when Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions (as FeSO<sub>4</sub>) were used in conjunction with 1 g/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Tang *et al.*, 2012). Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions were more effective than Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions under UV-Fenton conditions.

PFAS decomposition through UV-Fenton process is a feasible and applicable AOP. With the addition of  $H_2O_2$ , PFASs undergo general photocalytic degradation when  $H_2O_2$  is abundant. Then, the traditional Fenton reaction mechanism mentioned at the beginning of this section starts and continues to decompose PFASs (Tang *et al.*, 2012). Future studies should focus on optimizing pH, Fe<sup>2+</sup> ion concentration, and  $H_2O_2$  loading for a range of PFAS concentrations, PFAS mixtures, and environmental matrices.

Modified Fenton's reaction, known as catalyzed  $H_2O_2$ propagation (CHP) [Eqs. (S23)–(S27) in Supplementary Table S6], can efficiently decompose PFOA (89% decomposed within 2.5 h) due to superoxide and hydroperoxide species (Mitchell *et al.*, 2014). Instead of UV, this method utilized a high concentration of  $H_2O_2$  with the addition of initiators (e.g., soluble Fe(III), iron chelates, and minerals) to decompose xenobiotics (Watts and Teel, 2005). CHP has been successfully used for *in situ* chemical oxidation (ISCO) for over 10 years and has been used to treat industrial wastewater. In addition to PFOA degradation, Mitchell *et al.* (2014) could only detect F<sup>-</sup> as the main degradation product [Eqs. (S23)–(S27) in Supplementary Table S6].

# AOPs: activated persulfate $(S_2O_8^{2-})$ oxidation

Persulfate has been used to successfully decompose PFOA, PFDA, and 4:2 FTUCA. This strong oxidant ( $E^\circ = 2.1 V$ ) is highly soluble and can become activated and

generate free sulfate radicals (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>•-</sup>, E°=2.6 V) when exposed to UV light, transition metals, hydrogen peroxide, heat, etc. (Tsitonaki *et al.*, 2010). Sulfate radicals are considered harmless and can react with organics, water, or hydroxyl groups [Eqs. (S4) and (S28)–(S30) in Supplementary Table S6]. This increases the oxidation capabilities of persulfate ions toward PFASs since both sulfate and hydroxyl radicals will interact with PFASs [Eq. (S33) in Supplementary Table S6]. The reaction will then follow general stepwise decomposition [Eqs. (S9)–(S13) in Supplementary Table S6] (Lee *et al.*, 2009).

Five studies demonstrated that persulfate can decompose PFOA, PFDA, and 4:2 FTUCA to shorter-chain PFCAs and elemental components, such as F<sup>-</sup>, under oxygen gas, various wavelengths, ambient temperatures, and acidic pH. For example, persulfate (50 mM) decomposed PFOA to nondetectable levels within 4 h (59.1% defluorination yield), and within 8 h, the fluoride yield increased to 73.8% (Hori *et al.*, 2005). A lamp requiring less energy (23 W vs. 200 W) was later applied successfully (Chen and Zhang, 2006). Persulfate ions could also decompose PFDA when using both UV and VUV light (Wang et al., 2010). Other sources of sulfate radicals may be used, such as sulfide ions (as Na<sub>2</sub>S). Sulfide ions under  $N_2$  gas increased the defluorination yield to 45% due to the ability of  $S_2^-$  to scavenge species, such as H<sup>+</sup> and OH, and allowing for  $e_{aq}^{-}$  to act on PFDA (Wang *et al.*, 2010). Persulfate can also be used to decompose polyfluoroalkyl compounds such as 4:2 FTUCA within a few minutes (Hori et al., 2007a), but less degradation was observed when using visible light combined with persulfate and tungsten trioxide (WO<sub>3</sub>) (Hori *et al.*, 2013a).

Since persulfate has proven to be highly successful in decomposing PFOA, PFDA, and 4:2 FTUCA, future studies should determine if persulfate could be used for environmental matrices, especially as an ISCO oxidant.

# AOPs: other UV-induced oxidation

Aqueous solutions (e.g., aqueous periodate and carbonate) with photolysis can be effective at decomposing PFASs. With photolysis, aqueous periodate (as NaIO<sub>4</sub>) produces products such as  $IO_4^-$ ,  $IO_3^{\bullet}$ ,  ${}^{\bullet}OH$ , and  $O^{\bullet-}$  (Cao *et al.*, 2010), while aqueous carbonate (as NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) produces products such as  $CO_3^{2-\bullet}$ ,  $HCO_3^{2-}$ , and  ${}^{\bullet}OH$  (Phan Thi *et al.*, 2013). These radicals can react with PFASs and produce shorter-chain PFASs,  $F^-$  ions,  $CO_2$ , or  $SO_4^{2-}$ .

Under oxidizing conditions, aqueous periodate and carbonate can decompose PFOA, with more efficient decomposition occurring with aqueous periodate (Cao *et al.*, 2010). This difference may be due to scavenging of aqueous periodate by aquated electrons. While decomposition of PFOA by aqueous periodate was fast, higher temperatures were used (40°C). Comparatively, aqueous carbonate decomposed PFOA to nondetectable levels (82.3% defluorination yield) at ambient temperatures and basic pH (8.3–8.96), but at a longer reaction time (12 h) and with the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Phan Thi *et al.*, 2013).

Other UV-involved oxidation processes have not been as successful for PFAS decomposition, including tungstic heteropolyacid (H<sub>3</sub>PW<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) (Hori *et al.*, 2004) and alkaline 2-propanol (Yamamoto *et al.*, 2007), which required long reaction times (24 h and 10 days, respectively).

# AOPs: summary

Several AOPs are successful in degrading PFASs, especially PFOA and PFOS. Electrochemical oxidation of PFASs may be a possible treatment method, but more research is needed on other electrode materials and on the influence of environmental matrices, PFAS mixtures, and cocontaminants. Photolysis, photocatalysis, activated persulfate oxidation, and other UV-induced oxidation are also promising treatment methods, but require similar research as electrochemical oxidation.

#### Advanced reduction processes

ARPs are a new treatment method that has successfully degraded other xenobiotics, including monochloroacetic acid (Li *et al.*, 2012a), vinyl chloride (Liu *et al.*, 2013c), and 1,2-dichloroethane (Yoon *et al.*, 2014). In contrast to AOPs, ARPs degrade contaminants with highly reactive, nonselective reducing nucleophiles or radicals, such as aqueous electrons (also known as hydrated electrons,  $e_{aq}^{-}$ ), H<sup>•</sup>, and SO<sub>3</sub><sup>•-</sup>. Current studies (Table 6) on PFAS degradation have focused on PFCAs and have generated radicals by utilizing dithionite, sulfite, aqueous iodide, and ferrocyanide in combination with UV, laser flash photolysis, ultrasound, microwave, or electron beam (E-beam).

Hydrated electrons are the main nucleophiles that degrade PFCAs ( $E^\circ = -2.9 V$ ) (Park *et al.*, 2009). Cleavage of the  $\alpha$ -position C–F bond, instead of the C–C bond, initiates degradation [Eqs. (S34)–(S37) in Supplementary Table S6] (Qu *et al.*, 2010; Song *et al.*, 2013). This attack results from the inductive effect of the carboxyl head group and the ability of fluorine to withdraw electrons (electron affinity 3.40 eV) (Blondel *et al.*, 1989). In comparison, the carbon atoms in PFCAs are saturated and cannot gain more electrons. After cleavage occurs [Eqs. (S34)–(S37) in Supplementary Table S6], other free radicals are formed as a result of UV irradiation or other activation methods, including  $^{\circ}C_{n-1}F_{2n-1}$ , carbene (:CH<sub>2</sub>), and  $^{\circ}COOH$  (Qu *et al.*, 2010; Song *et al.*, 2013). Carbene can then form  $^{\circ}CH_3$ , leading to the formation of CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (Qu *et al.*, 2010).

### ARPs: aqueous iodide (KI)

KI with UV (254 nm) has been one of the most studied ARPs and can decompose several PFASs. When UV light is present, iodide ( $\Gamma$ ) will form a caged complex ( $I^{\bullet}$ , e<sup>-</sup>) in water [Eqs. (S42) and (S43) in Supplementary Table S6], which can then dissociate to  $e_{aq}^{-}$  and iodine atom [Eq. (S44) in Supplementary Table S6] (Qu *et al.*, 2010). Initial studies demonstrating the capabilities of KI were limited and resulted in high concentrations of PFCAs and PFSAs remaining in solution (Park *et al.*, 2009, 2011). This was likely due to quenching and sequestration of  $e_{aq}^{-}$  by the production of triiodide (I<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) from high concentrations of KI [Eqs. (S45)–(S50) in Supplementary Table S6] (Qu *et al.*, 2010; Park *et al.*, 2011). Strong greenhouse gases were also produced, including iodinated hydrocarbons, CHF<sub>3</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> (IPCC, 2007; Qu *et al.*, 2010).

KI treatment was further improved by utilizing alkaline conditions and a closed reactor (Qu *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2014). Alkaline conditions reduced the amount of greenhouse gases produced, and the closed reactor ( $N_2$  gas)

prevented the reaction of  $e_{aq}^{-}$  with O<sub>2</sub>. Regeneration of iodide was also possible when pH>8.5 [Eqs. (S51) and (S52) in Supplementary Table S6]. Increasing the temperature and varying ionic strength also improved PFOA decomposition and defluorination ratios.

### ARPs: dithionite and sulfite

Dithionite  $(S_2O_4^{2-})$  and sulfite  $(SO_3^{2-})$  have been used to degrade PFOA with relatively limited success. Both dithionite and sulfite will produce  $e_{aq}^{-}$  and other reductants. When irradiated with UV (315 nm), dithionite will form two sulfur dioxide radical anions  $(2SO_2^{\bullet-}, E^{\circ} = -0.66 V)$  (Mayhew, 1978; Makarov, 2001; Vellanki et al., 2013) [Eq. (S53) in Supplementary Table S6]. Other products can be generated during this process, including  $H_2SO_3$ ,  $HSO_3^-$ , and  $SO_3^{2-}$ . Aquated electrons and other reductants, such as H<sup>•</sup> and sulfite radical (SO<sub>3</sub><sup>•-</sup>), will form as a result of UV irradiation of these products and can then be used to breakdown PFOA [Eqs. (S54) and (S55) in Supplementary Table S6] (Fischer and Warneck, 1996; Lian et al., 2006; Li et al., 2012a). Generated sulfite radicals can also be used, either as a reductant or oxidant [Eqs. (S56)-(S60) in Supplementary Table S6] (Liu et al., 2013b; Vellanki et al., 2013). While PFOA degradation seems promising using dithionite and sulfite, <10% PFOA was removed with UV light and no degradation was observed when using dithionite and sulfite with ultrasound, microwave, or E-beam (Vellanki et al., 2013). Comparatively, Song et al. (2013) observed 68.6% defluorination of PFOA within 6h (N<sub>2</sub> gas), but did not quantitate the PFOA decomposition ratio or metabolite yield.

#### ARPs: summary

Degradation of PFASs using ARPs needs more research to determine better degradation parameters for dithionite and sulfite and to determine other ARPs that can be used. For example, the ARP,  $K_4Fe(CN)_6$ , in combination with laser flash photolysis has been studied for trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), PFBA, and PFOA, but this process has limited applications and has not been further optimized for PFAS degradation (Huang *et al.*, 2007). In contrast, KI may be applied to PFCA-contaminated wastewater. Qu *et al.* (2010) observed about 96% PFOA degradation when using KI to destroy PFCAs in wastewater from a fluorochemical plant in China.

### Thermal and nonthermal destruction

Thermal degradation of PFASs involves breaking the C–C and C–F bonds with high temperatures to produce perfluoroalkyl radicals that will subsequently decompose and form similar degradation products as photolytic treatment of PFASs. Thermal treatment methods include thermal chemical reactions, incineration, sonochemistry, sub- or supercritical, microwave-hydrothermal, and high-voltage electric discharge (Tables 7 and 8).

# Thermal and nonthermal destruction: incineration and thermal chemical reactions

Incineration is one of the most common ways to destroy hazardous compounds and to reduce waste, but can result in harmful emissions. Incineration of PFASs, including fluorotelomer alcohol (FTOH)-based acrylic polymers, PFOS, ammonium perfluorooctanoate (APFO), and PFOA, has been successful at temperatures ranging from 600°C to1,000°C (USEPA, 2003; Krusic and Roe, 2004; Krusic et al., 2005; Yamada et al., 2005; Taylor et al., 2014). This may lead to the formation of 1H-substituted perfluoroalkyl substances, such as 1-H-perfluoroheptane, which are volatile and mobile products (Krusic and Roe, 2004; Krusic et al., 2005). Other harmful emissions, such as dioxins and furans, can be produced if PFASs are incinerated with other wastes (Tuppurainen et al., 1998; McKay, 2002). Strong greenhouse gases have been observed from the combustion of PFOS, including tetrafluoromethane ( $CF_4$ ) and hexafluoroethane ( $C_2F_6$ ) (Yamada et al., 2005). The global-warming potentials are 5,700 and 11,900, respectively (IPCC, 2007), with long atmospheric lifetimes of 50,000 and 10,000 years, respectively (IPCC, 2013). These harmful by-products may be reduced with certain additives, such as calcium hydroxide (Wang et al., 2011a, 2013). More research is needed to fully understand the effects of incineration on PFASs and byproducts formed.

Other studies have observed PFCA and perfluoroether carboxylic acid decomposition to shorter-chain carboxylic acids,  $F^-$ , and CO<sub>2</sub> under much more benign, thermal chemical methods by combining heat (30–85°C) with persulfate. Similar reactions took place as with persulfate and UV light [Eqs. (S28)–(S33) in Supplementary Table S6] and resulted in PFOA decomposition to nondetectable levels, with faster degradation occurring with increasing temperatures (Lee *et al.*, 2012b; Liu *et al.*, 2012a). Other PFCAs, perfluoroether carboxylic acids, and PFNA from floor wax were also observed to degrade to nondetectable levels within 6 h (Hori *et al.*, 2008a).

# Thermal and nonthermal destruction: sonochemical degradation

Sonochemical degradation of PFASs occurs through the application of ultrasound to an aqueous medium. When ultrasound is applied, cavitation bubbles form during the rarefaction (negative pressure) portion of sound waves (Thompson and Doraiswamy, 1999; Joseph et al., 2009). The cavitation bubbles will implode adiabatically, creating extreme temperatures (>9,700°C in the vapor core) and pressures ( $\sim 14,000$  psi) within its cavity (Didenko *et al.*, 1999; Ashokkumar and Grieser, 2005; Ciawi et al., 2006; Eddingsaas and Suslick, 2007; Park et al., 2009). Highly reactive intermediates and radicals, including hydroxyl radicals, hydrogen atom, and oxygen atom, form during cavitation bubble collapse (Leighton, 1994). This combination of highly reactive species and high temperatures and pressures has made sonolytic decomposition of PFASs successful.

PFOS and PFOA were completely mineralized through sonolysis to CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, F<sup>-</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, as detected by HPLC-MS, ion chromatography, FT-IR, and GC-MS. Two studies report no detection of reaction intermediates and complete defluorination of PFOS within 3 h and PFOA within 2 h (Vecitis *et al.*, 2008b, 2010). There was immediate production of inorganic sulfur and fluorine atoms, with a slight delay in production of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> (Vecitis *et al.*, 2008b). Complete mineralization was possible due to the presence of three different reactivity sites: inside the cavitation bubble, at the interfacial region between the cavitation bubble and the bulk aqueous solution, and in the bulk aqueous solution and vapor phase (Moriwaki *et al.*, 2005; Vecitis *et al.*, 2008b).

The sonolytic decomposition of PFASs depended on the type of gas used and the initial PFAS concentration. All sonolytic decomposition processes of PFASs have been conducted using argon gas since it will produce higher temperatures and increased reaction yields compared with air (Moriwaki *et al.*, 2005). However, Phan Thi *et al.* (2014) observed 100% PFOA decomposition when using nitrogen gas with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The initial concentration of PFASs is important as saturation kinetics could influence the reaction. At higher concentrations of PFOS or PFOA (Table 8), zero-order kinetics were observed, indicating saturation of adsorption sites on the interfacial region, while at lower concentrations, pseudo-first-order kinetics took place (Vecitis *et al.*, 2008a).

Sonolysis of PFOA and PFOS was affected by cocontaminants and electrolyte concentrations. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (e.g., methanol, acetone, and methyl isobutyl ketone) decreased the decomposition rate, likely caused by competitive adsorption onto the interfacial region or evaporation of VOCs into the bubble vapor phase, decreasing bubble vapor and interfacial temperatures (Cheng et al., 2008). In contrast, dissolved organic matter did not significantly impact PFOA and PFOS sonochemical degradation kinetics (Cheng et al., 2008). Electrolyte concentration could either increase or decrease the sonolytic degradation rate constant of PFOS and PFOA (Cheng et al., 2010). For example, the effect of electrolytes on sonochemical rates could be ordered as  $ClO_4^- > NO_3^- \sim Cl^- \ge 0 >$  $HCO_3^- > SO_4^{2-}$  (Cheng *et al.*, 2010), where  $ClO_4^-$ ,  $NO_3^-$ , and  $Cl^{-}$  increased decomposition, while  $HCO_{3}^{-}$  and  $SO_{4}^{2-}$  decreased decomposition. The electrolyte probably influenced interfacial conditions by either increasing the number of surface sites available or by altering heat transfer from the bubble vapor to the bulk liquid. In addition to PFOA and PFOS, shorter-chain PFASs, including PFHxA, PFHxS, PFBA, and PFBS, can be degraded by sonolysis with pseudofirst-order kinetics (Campbell et al., 2009).

Sonolysis of PFASs may be improved in conjunction with other treatment methods, such as ozone, microwave irradiation, persulfate, and VUV (Yang et al., 2013). When sonolysis and ozone were applied to groundwater containing PFOS and PFOA, the degradation rates increased by 79% for PFOS and 70% for PFOA when compared with Milli-Q water (Cheng et al., 2008). Similarly, microwave irradiation combined with an ultrasonic homogenizer decomposed PFOA within only 90s with 59% defluorination yields (Horikoshi et al., 2011). Temperatures reached 1,000°C at the tungsten tip and 51°C in the bulk liquid. Active species were also generated, including hydroxyl radical, hydrogen atom, and oxygen atom, causing decarboxylation and oxidation of PFOA and its intermediates. Comparatively, a more benign treatment approach can be used with persulfate and sonolysis under either air or argon gas and has been used to degrade five perfluoroether carboxylic acids and two perfluoroether sulfonates (Hori et al., 2012). Argon gas increased removal yields compared with air due to the occurrence of higher temperatures when the cavitation bubbles collapsed.

# Thermal and nonthermal destruction: sub- or supercritical treatment

Treatment methods using sub- or supercritical water can be environmentally benign. Subcritical water temperatures range from 100°C to 350°C and are maintained at a certain pressure to hold a liquid state. In comparison, supercritical water temperatures reach >350°C and pressures >22.1 MPa (Jessop and Leitner, 1999). At these temperatures and pressures, sub- and supercritical water has useful properties for degrading hazardous compounds, including high diffusivity and low viscosity.

Iron has been used in combination with sub- or supercritical water to increase decomposition of PFASs. Compared with aluminum, copper, and zinc (Hori *et al.*, 2006), iron increased decomposition of PFOS (Hori *et al.*, 2006) and Nafion NRE-212, a perfluorinated ion-exchange membrane (Hori *et al.*, 2010), in an argon-saturated aqueous subcritical solution. The PFOS degradation efficiency of each metal could be ordered as Al < Cu < Zn << Fe, while the redox potential of each metal surface plays a more important role than redox potential (Hori *et al.*, 2006). Increasing the surface area and using zero-valent iron also improves decomposition of PFASs (Hori *et al.*, 2008b, 2013b, 2015).

Compared with subcritical water, PFAS degradation was enhanced under supercritical conditions. Hori *et al.* (2008b) observed increased consumption of PFHxS under supercritical conditions (94.8% PFHxA decomposed) compared with subcritical conditions (83.6% PFHxS decomposed) when Fe powder was added. However, under supercritical conditions, more CF<sub>3</sub>H was produced, a greenhouse gas with a global warming potential of 14,800 for a 100-year horizon and an atmospheric lifetime of 270 years (IPCC, 2007).

# Thermal and nonthermal destruction: microwave-hydrothermal treatment

Microwave-hydrothermal treatment is more cost-efficient when compared with other thermal treatment processes and can save up to 50% in energy consumption. Higher decomposition rates, enhanced kinetics, and rapid and homogeneous heating have also been observed (Park *et al.*, 2000; Jones *et al.*, 2002).

Persulfate has been used in combination with microwavehydrothermal treatment to decompose PFOA and will form sulfate radicals with heat, similar to persulfate and UV light, as shown in Equations (S28)–(S33) in Supplementary Table S6 (Lee *et al.*, 2009). At 90°C, PFOA was decomposed to nondetectable levels after 6 h, and at 60°C, the reaction took twice as long to achieve the same PFOA removal (Lee *et al.*, 2009). Although microwave-hydrothermal treatment with persulfate is quick, it requires low pH, as found in certain industrial wastewaters (e.g., chromium plating), to form more sulfate radicals, as seen in Equations (S61) and (S62) in Supplementary Table S6 (Lee *et al.*, 2012a). In addition, the pH will drop quickly as the reaction proceeds from pH 3.6 to 2.3 in 1 h and less than 0.1 U every hour afterward due to the formation of more protons.

Persulfate activated by microwave-hydrothermal treatment was improved with the addition of zero-valent iron (ZVI) powder and inhibited by the addition of chloride ions. ZVI acted as a source of ferrous ions and led to faster activation of persulfate [Eq. (S63) in Supplementary Table S6]. Within 1 h at 90°C, about 60% PFOA was degraded (~15% fluoride yield) (Lee *et al.*, 2010). While PFOA degradation efficiency increased with ZVI, high concentrations of ZVI (14.4–18 mM) resulted in less PFOA degradation due to the release of ferrous ions that competed with PFOA for sulfate radicals. Chloride ions were also observed to inhibit PFOA degradation rate (Lee *et al.*, 2012b).

### Thermal and nonthermal destruction: high-voltage electric discharge

High-voltage electric discharge reactors apply electric discharges directly in water (electrohydraulic discharge) or above water (nonthermal plasma, NTP) and have been utilized for inactivation of microorganisms and removal of organic substances, such as phenols, chlorinated solvents, and organic dyes (Locke et al., 2006). These electric discharges will generate strong electric fields and highly active species, such as hydroxyl radicals, ozone, oxygen radicals, and hydrogen radicals. Shock waves and UV light may also occur as a result of electric discharge application. During electrohydraulic discharge, liquid-gas phase reactions with reactive species and other organic compounds can occur as bubbles form in the electric field. NTP has also been shown to generate electrons that have temperatures >9,700°C and can activate highly reactive species (Holzer et al., 2002; Roland et al., 2002; Oda, 2003; Locke et al., 2006). High-voltage electric discharge can be cost-efficient, depending on the reaction time and energy utilization [e.g., decomposition energy yield of 16 g/kWh or 1 W power (Magureanu et al., 2010)].

A DC electrohydraulic plasma discharge reactor was utilized for PFOA and PFOS decomposition (Yasuoka et al., 2010, 2011; Matsuya et al., 2014; Takeuchi et al., 2014; Hayashi et al., 2015). DC plasmas were generated within oxygen gas bubbles and reached temperatures  $\sim 2,000$  K. PFOA and PFOS molecules adsorb onto the gas-liquid interface in high concentration (Matsuya et al., 2014), where generated positive species (M<sup>+</sup>) collided and reacted with anionic forms of PFOA or PFOS. The reaction can cause decarboxylation degradation pathways [Eqs. (S64)-(S66) in Supplementary Table S6] or C-C bond cleavage [Eqs. (S67)-(S69) in Supplementary Table S6]. C–C bond cleavage is likely since the gaseous fluorocarbons,  $CF_m$  (m = 1–3), were detected (Takeuchi et al., 2014). Other gaseous fluorocarbons detected during only the first 10 min of the reaction include CHF<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>HF<sub>5</sub>. Shorter-chain perfluorocarboxylates, especially TFA [Eq. (S68) in Supplementary Table S6] and PFHxA [Eq. (S69) in Supplementary Table S6], fluoride ions, or sulfate ions, were also observed. Recently, Hayashi et al. (2015) demonstrated the successful use of a two-parallel operation of a DC electrohydraulic plasma discharge reactor for large-scale treatment of PFOS [Eqs. (S70)-(S73) in Supplementary Table S6].

The NTP method, Glidarc, was shown to decompose a perfluorinated nonionic surfactant known as Forafac 110  $(C_6F_{13}-C_2H_4(OC_2H_4)_{11.5}OH)$  (Marouf-Khelifa *et al.*, 2008). Within 6 h, 96.7% Forafac was removed, and more efficient reaction time (within 1 h) was observed when adding anatase or rutile TiO<sub>2</sub>. Glidarc involves the production of an electric arc between two electrodes in a gaseous medium (Marouf-

Khelifa *et al.*, 2006). When the glidarc is exposed to humid air plasma, NO<sup>•</sup> and OH<sup>•</sup> are formed. The NO<sup>•</sup> leads to the formation of NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> [Eqs. (S74)–(S79) in Supplementary Table S6] (Marouf-Khelifa *et al.*, 2006). The OH<sup>•</sup> makes the glidarc a strong oxidizer, while NO species acidifies the reaction. Other species, such as H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, are also produced to improve decomposition (Marouf-Khelifa *et al.*, 2008).

#### Thermal and nonthermal destruction: summary

Studies using thermal and nonthermal processes for PFAS destruction have been successful with some limitations, including production of toxic by-products and greenhouse gases. These methods are also relatively more expensive than AOPs and sorption removal processes. For example, to treat phenol-contaminated wastewater at a 1,000 L/min capacity treatment plant, sonolysis would cost \$15,537 per 1,000 gallons of treated water. When combined with other treatment processes, such as ozone or UV, this cost can be lowered to \$25.80. In comparison, treating the same wastewater would cost \$7-11 per 1,000 gallons of treated water with a commercial process known as Perox-pure<sup>™</sup> (Calgon Carbon Oxidation Technologies) (Mahamuni and Adewuyi, 2010). The cost efficiency of other methods for PFAS removal is still unknown, especially when dealing with PFAS mixture and cocontaminants.

#### Microbial treatment processes

Microbial degradation of PFASs has only been observed to occur with polyfluoroalkyl substances (Butt *et al.*, 2014), which contain some C–H bonds instead of C–F bonds (Buck *et al.*, 2011). Although reductive defluorination of perfluoroalkyl substances may be possible, as observed when using vitamin B12 and Ti(III)-citrate (Ochoa-Herrera *et al.*, 2008), there are no known reports of biotransformation occurring. Vitamin B12 is needed in microbial reductive dehalogenation processes and it can be used *in vitro* when Ti(III) is supplied as the reducing agent.

Butt et al. (2014) published a comprehensive review on the biotransformation pathways (microbial, mammalian, and fish) of fluorotelomer-based compounds. Many polyfluoroalkyl substances, such as 6:2 FTOH, are transformed to perfluoroalkyl substances, such as PFHxA, PFPeA, and PFBA, by pure bacterial cultures and environmental samples. Perfluoroalkyl metabolite production has been observed in other studies published after Butt et al. (2014), including WWTP effluent (Guerra et al., 2014), AFFFamended microcosms (Harding-Marjanovic et al., 2015), and soil-plant microcosms with WWTP biosolids (Rankin et al., 2014). Comparatively, the wood-rotting fungi, Phanerochaete chrysosporium, transformed 6:2 FTOH toward polyfluoroalkyl substances, including 5:3 polyfluorinated acid (5:3 acid) and 5:3 acid conjugates within 28 days (Tseng et al., 2014). While many studies demonstrated perfluoroalkyl metabolite production, this may not occur under all environmental conditions, such as anaerobic bioreactors (Alder and van der Voet, 2015). More research on the microbial degradation of PFASs must be conducted to fully understand the biotransformation of PFASs in the environment.

### Other treatment processes

Several other studies have used different treatment methods to degrade PFASs, including ozonation under alkaline conditions, permanganate, and ball milling. Ozonation is a commonly used AOP in at least one-third of water treatment plants in the United States (Crittenden et al., 2012). Ozonation of PFOA and PFOS was viable within 4h when pretreating with O<sub>3</sub> at pH 4–5, followed by pH adjustment to 11, but environmental matrices containing humic acid may inhibit ozonation (Lin et al., 2012a). Permanganate is also widely used as an oxidizing agent for iron and manganese, taste and odor control, microorganism control, and degradation of other hazardous pollutants (Crittenden et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012b). Permanganate removed about 50% PFOS, but with only 5% fluoride yield at 65°C and pH 4.2 (Liu et al., 2012b). Although complete PFOS decomposition could not be achieved, degradation efficiency of permanganate improved with increasing temperatures and was not inhibited by the addition of organic acids, including oxalic, tartaric, succinic, citric, and humic acid.

In contrast to ozone and permanganate, ball milling is a type of mechanochemical (MC) destruction method that has been used to destroy PFOS and PFOA (Zhang *et al.*, 2013b). Reactions take place at the surface of the ball mills while mechanic force is applied, such as shaking. This process effectively destroyed PFOS (<0.2% PFOS remained with 92.3% fluoride yield) after 6 h of ball milling. When potassium hydroxide (KOH) was added, PFOS and PFOA were completely destroyed with higher fluoride yields.

#### Conclusion

A wide variety of technologies to remove or destroy PFASs have been tested by researchers and practitioners. Results show that a variety of PFASs can effectively be removed from water and wastewater using sorption onto AC, ion exchange, MIPs, and other sorbents. Knowledge of PFAS sorption mechanisms has been used to design more efficient sorbents and to predict their performance under a range of environmental conditions. Technologies for destroying PFASs include a variety of AOPs, ARPs, thermal and nonthermal destruction methods, and other innovative approaches (e.g., ball milling). These technologies effectively destroyed select PFASs under idealized laboratory conditions. However, many studies discussed in this review may not have achieved detection limits below provisional guidelines set by EPA (ng/L) (USEPA, 2016). While analytical methods to measure PFASs have become more sensitive within the past decade and can attain detection limits of ng/L (Naile et al., 2010; Cao et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011b; ASTM, 2015), most PFAS removal methods have not been retested using new analytical techniques. Further research is needed on promising PFAS removal methods that attained nondetectable PFAS levels for the development of applicable remediation strategies.

Despite these advances, more work is required to develop a design basis for confidently employing PFAS remediation strategies. Several technologies (e.g., ARPs) require additional basic research to elucidate reaction mechanisms, determine degradation parameters, decomposition products, and defluorination yields. Destruction technologies can likely be improved for field implementation (e.g., lower reagent doses, temperatures, pressures, energy consumption). Comprehensive research studies are needed to predict and address the effects of complex field conditions on treatment technology performance. Field conditions are typically affected by the presence and distribution of PFAS mixtures, cocontaminants (e.g., chlorinated solvents, metals, and 1,4dioxane), and environmental matrix parameters (e.g., temperature, pH, organic matter content, inorganic ions, oxygen concentrations, groundwater, sediment geochemistry). Due to the complexity of PFAS mixtures in the environment, comprehensive studies may not be possible until accurate and efficient analytical methods for PFAS precursors and metabolites are developed and standardized.

Application of promising treatment technologies at wastewater and industrial treatment plants and at pilot-scale or fullscale remediation systems also merits further research. Such studies need to take into account the effect of natural environmental conditions on PFAS transformation and distribution. PFAS fate and transport can also be affected by remediation systems designed and previously implemented for cocontaminants. Currently, two field studies have observed the likely transformation of PFAS precursors to terminal PFAS products, such as PFOA and PFOS, at former firefighting training bases, where several remediation methods have been utilized to remove other contaminants (McGuire et al., 2014; Anderson et al., 2016). A bench-scale study observed no transformation of PFAAs using ISCO with activated persulfate, permanganate, or catalyzed hydrogen peroxide (McKenzie et al., 2015) and demonstrated that ISCO remediation efforts for other contaminants can affect PFAS fate and transport. Furthermore, certain ISCO treatments may be used for PFAS containment (persulfate) or for pump-and-treat efforts (permanganate and catalyzed hydrogen peroxide). More field studies and PFAS monitoring must be conducted to fully understand the removal, fate, and transport of these compounds.

Another direction for further research is the effective use of PFAS treatment technologies in treatment trains. Due to the stable nature of these compounds, treatment processes will likely need to be combined to achieve cost-efficient removal. For example, photocatalysis could be combined with membrane separation processes, sonolysis, or biological treatment. Unfortunately, current studies have been limited to understanding the removal efficiencies of one technology under fairly simplistic conditions. Ideally, the assortment of research avenues will eventually provide different treatment methods to cost-effectively remove PFASs under various circumstances and field conditions.

# Acknowledgments

This research was supported by U.S. Air Force Civil Engineer Center (AFCEC) Contract FA8903-11-C-8009 and by the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) award ER-2422. N.M. received a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Science to Achieve Results (EPA STAR) Fellowship, the UCLA Dissertation Year Fellowship, and the Society of Women Engineers Scholarships. S.M. acknowledges a Hellman Fellowship, Henry Samueli Fellowship, and DuPont Young Professor Award. This research was performed in a renovated collaboratory funded by the National Science Foundation Grant Number 0963183, which was awarded under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA).

#### **Author Disclosure Statement**

No competing financial interests exist.

#### References

- Ahmed, S., Rasul, M.G., Martens, W.N., Brown, R., and Hashib, M.A. (2011). Advances in heterogeneous photocatalytic degradation of phenols and dyes in wastewater: A review. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 215, 3.
- Alder, A.C., and van der Voet, J. (2015). Occurrence and point source characterization of perfluoroalkyl acids in sewage sludge. *Chemosphere* 129, 62.
- Anderson, R.H., Long, G.C., Porter, R.C., and Anderson, J.K. (2016). Occurrence of select perfluoroalkyl substances at US Air Force aqueous film-forming foam release sites other than fire-training areas: Field-validation of critical fate and transport properties. *Chemosphere* 150, 678.
- Appleman, T.D., Dickenson, E.R.V., Bellona, C., and Higgins, C.P. (2013). Nanofiltration and granular activated carbon treatment of perfluoroalkyl acids. J. Hazard. Mater. 260, 740.
- Ashokkumar, M., and Grieser, F. (2005). A comparison between multibubble sonoluminescence intensity and the temperature within cavitation bubbles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127, 5326.
- ASTM. (2015). Standard Test Method for Determination of Perfluorinated Compounds in Water, Sludge, Influent, Effluent and Wastewater by Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). West Conshohoken, PA: ASTM International.
- Ayoub, K., van Hullebusch, E.D., Cassir, M., and Bermond, A. (2010). Application of advanced oxidation processes for TNT removal: A review. J. Hazard. Mater. 178, 10.
- Bao, Y., Niu, J., Xu, Z., Gao, D., Shi, J., Sun, X., and Huang, Q. (2014). Removal of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) from water by coagulation: Mechanisms and influencing factors. J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 434, 59.
- Blondel, C., Cacciani, P., Delsart, C., and Trainham, R. (1989). High-resolution determination of the electron affinity of fluorine and bromine using crossed ion and laser beams. *Phys. Rev. A* 40, 3698.
- Buck, R.C., Franklin, J., Berger, U., Conder, J.M., Cousins, I.T., de Voogt, P., Jensen, A.A., Kannan, K., Mabury, S.A., and van Leeuwen, S.P.J. (2011). Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the environment: Terminology, classification, and origins. *Integr. Environ. Assess. Manag.* 7, 513.
- Butt, C.M., Muir, D.C.G., and Mabury, S.A. (2014). Biotransformation pathways of fluorotelomer-based polyfluoroalkyl substances: A review. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 33, 243.
- Campbell, T.Y., Vecitis, C.D., Mader, B.T., and Hoffmann, M.R. (2009). Perfluorinated surfactant chain-length effects on sonochemical kinetics. J. Phys. Chem. A 113, 9834.
- Cañizares, P., Lobato, J., Paz, R., Rodrigo, M.A., and Sáez, C. (2005). Electrochemical oxidation of phenolic wastes with boron-doped diamond anodes. *Water Res.* 39, 2687.
- Cao, D., Wang, Z.D., Han, C.G., Cui, L., Hu, M., Wu, J.J., Liu, Y.X., Cai, Y.Q., Wang, H.L., and Kang, Y.H. (2011). Quantitative detection of trace perfluorinated compounds in environmental water samples by Matrix-assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry with 1,8-bis(tetramethylguanidino)-naphthalene as matrix. *Talanta* 85, 345.
- Cao, M.H., Wang, B.B., Yu, H.S., Wang, L.L., Yuan, S.H., and Chen, J. (2010). Photochemical decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid in aqueous periodate with VUV and UV light irradiation. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 179, 1143.

- Carp, O., Huisman, C.L., and Reller, A. (2004). Photoinduced reactivity of titanium dioxide. *Prog. Solid State Chem.* 32, 33.
- Carter, K.E., and Farrell, J. (2008). Oxidative destruction of perfluorooctane sulfonate using boron-doped diamond film electrodes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 6111.
- Carter, K.E., and Farrell, J. (2010). Removal of perfluorooctane and perfluorobutane sulfonate from water via carbon adsorption and ion exchange. *Separ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 762.
- Chen, H., Chen, S., Quan, X., Zhao, Y., and Zhao, H. (2009). Sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) on oil and oilderived black carbon: Influence of solution pH and [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]. *Chemosphere* 77, 1406.
- Chen, H.-Y., Liao, W., Wu, B.-Z., Nian, H., Chiu, K., and Yak, H.-K. (2012). Removing perfluorooctane sulfonate and perfluorooctanoic acid from solid matrices, paper, fabrics, and sand by mineral acid suppression and supercritical carbon dioxide extraction. *Chemosphere* 89, 179.
- Chen, J., and Zhang, P. (2006). Photodegradation of perfluorooctanoic acid in water under irradiation of 254 nm and 185 nm light by use of persulfate. *Water Sci. Technol.* 54, 317.
- Chen, J., Zhang, P.-Y., and Liu, J. (2007). Photodegradation of perfluorooctanoic acid by 185 nm vacuum ultraviolet light. *J. Environ. Sci. (China)* 19, 387.
- Chen, M.J., Lo, S.L., Lee, Y.C., and Huang, C.C. (2015). Photocatalytic decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid by transition-metal modified titanium dioxide. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 288, 168.
- Chen, X., Chen, G., and Yue, P.L. (2003). Anodic oxidation of dyes at novel Ti/B-diamond electrodes. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 58, 995.
- Cheng, J., Vecitis, C.D., Park, H., Mader, B.T., and Hoffmann, M.R. (2008). Sonochemical degradation of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in landfill groundwater: Environmental matrix effects. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 8057.
- Cheng, J., Vecitis, C.D., Park, H., Mader, B.T., and Hoffmann, M.R. (2010). Sonochemical degradation of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in groundwater: Kinetic effects of matrix inorganics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 445.
- Cheng, J.H., Liang, X.Y., Yang, S.W., and Hu, Y.Y. (2014). Photochemical defluorination of aqueous perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) by VUV/Fe3+ system. *Chem. Eng. J.* 239, 242.
- Chong, M.N., Jin, B., Chow, C.W.K., and Saint, C. (2010). Recent developments in photocatalytic water treatment technology: A review. *Water Res.* 44, 2997.
- Chularueangaksorn, P., Tanaka, S., Fujii, S., and Kunacheva, C. (2014). Adsorption of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) onto anion exchange resin, non-ion exchange resin, and granular-activated carbon by batch and column. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 52, 6542.
- Ciawi, E., Rae, J., Ashokkumar, M., and Grieser, F. (2006). Determination of temperatures within acoustically generated bubbles in aqueous solutions at different ultrasound frequencies. J. Phys. Chem. B 110, 13656.
- Coronado, J.M., Fresno, F., Hernández-Alonso, M.D., and Portela, R. (2013). *Design of Advanced Photocatalytic Materials for Energy and Environmental Applications*. London: Springer.
- Crittenden, J.C., Trussell, R.R., Hand, D.W., Howe, K.J., and Tchobanoglous, G. (2012). Advanced oxidation. In *MWH's Water Treatment: Principles and Design*, 3rd edn. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. p. 1415.
- Cummings, L., Matarazzo, A., Nelson, N., Sickels, F., and Storms, C.T. (2015). Recommendation on perfluorinated

compound treatment options for drinking water. New Jersey Drinking Water Quality Institute Treatment Subcommittee Report, June 2015 http://www.nj.gov/dep/watersupply/pdf/ pfna-pfc-treatment.pdf

- Dai, Y., Niu, J., Yin, L., Xu, J., and Sun, K. (2013). Enhanced sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) on carbon nanotube-filled electrospun nanofibrous membranes. *Chemo-sphere* 93, 1593.
- Deng, S., Shuai, D., Yu, Q., Huang, J., and Yu, G. (2009). Selective sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate on molecularly imprinted polymer adsorbents. *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. China* 3, 171.
- Didenko, Y.T., McNamara Iii, W.B., and Suslick, K.S. (1999). Hot spot conditions during cavitation in water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 5817.
- Ding, G., and Peijnenburg, W.J.G.M. (2013). Physicochemical properties and aquatic toxicity of poly- and perfluorinated compounds. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 598.
- Du, Z., Deng, S., Bei, Y., Huang, Q., Wang, B., Huang, J., and Yu, G. (2014). Adsorption behavior and mechanism of perfluorinated compounds on various adsorbents—A review. J. Hazard. Mater. 274, 443.
- Du, Z., Deng, S., Chen, Y., Wang, B., Huang, J., Wang, Y., and Yu, G. (2015). Removal of perfluorinated carboxylates from washing wastewater of perfluorooctanesulfonyl fluoride using activated carbons and resins. J. Hazard. Mater. 286, 136.
- Eddingsaas, N.C., and Suslick, K.S. (2007). Evidence for a plasma core during multibubble sonoluminescence in sulfuric acid. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 3838.
- Estrellan, C.R., Salim, C., and Hinode, H. (2009). Photocatalytic activity of sol–gel derived TiO<sub>2</sub> co-doped with iron and niobium. *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.* 98, 187.
- Estrellan, C.R., Salim, C., and Hinode, H. (2010). Photocatalytic decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid by iron and niobium co-doped titanium dioxide. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 179, 79.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). (2008). Opinion of the scientific panel on contaminants in the food chain on perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and their salts. *EFSA Journal*. 653, 1.
- Fischer, M., and Warneck, P. (1996). Photodecomposition and photooxidation of hydrogen sulfite in aqueous solution. *J. Phys. Chem.* 100, 15111.
- Flores, C., Ventura, F., Martin-Alonso, J., and Caixach, J. (2013). Occurrence of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in N.E. Spanish surface waters and their removal in a drinking water treatment plant that combines conventional and advanced treatments in parallel lines. *Sci. Total Environ.* 461–462, 618.
- Fujishima, A., Rao, T.N., and Tryk, D.A. (2000). Titanium dioxide photocatalysis. J. Photochem. Photobiol. C Photochem. Rev. 1, 1.
- Gatto, S., Sansotera, M., Persico, F., Gola, M., Pirola, C., Panzeri, W., Navarrini, W., and Bianchi, C.L. (2015). Surface fluorination on TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst induced by photodegradation of perfluorooctanoic acid. *Catal. Today* 241, Part A, 8.
- Giri, R.R., Ozaki, H., Morigaki, T., Taniguchi, S., and Takanami, R. (2011). UV photolysis of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) in dilute aqueous solution. *Water Sci. Technol.* 63, 276.
- Giri, R.R., Ozaki, H., Okada, T., Taniguchi, S., and Takanami, R. (2012). Factors influencing UV photodecomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid in water. *Chem. Eng. J.* 180, 197.
- Gorrochategui, E., Pérez-Albaladejo, E., Casas, J., Lacorte, S., and Porte, C. (2014). Perfluorinated chemicals: Differential

toxicity, inhibition of aromatase activity and alteration of cellular lipids in human placental cells. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 277, 124.

- Guerra, P., Kim, M., Kinsman, L., Ng, T., Alaee, M., and Smyth, S.A. (2014). Parameters affecting the formation of perfluoroalkyl acids during wastewater treatment. J. Hazard. Mater. 272, 148.
- Harding-Marjanovic, K.C., Houtz, E.F., Yi, S., Field, J.A., Sedlak, D.L., and Alvarez-Cohen, L. (2015). Aerobic biotransformation of fluorotelomer thioether amido sulfonate (Lodyne) in AFFF-amended microcosms. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 7666.
- Hayashi, R., Obo, H., Takeuchi, N., and Yasuoka, K. (2015). Decomposition of perfluorinated compounds in water by DC plasma within oxygen bubbles. *Elec. Eng. Japan* 190, 9.
- Hernández-Alonso, M.D. (2013). Metal doping of semiconductors for improving photoactivity. In J.M. Coronado, F. Fresno, M.D. Hernández-Alonso, and R. Portela, Eds., *Design* of Advanced Photocatalytic Materials for Energy and Environmental Applications. London: Springer, p. 269.
- Higgins, C.P., and Luthy, R.G. (2006). Sorption of perfluorinated surfactants on sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 7251.
- Holzer, F., Roland, U., and Kopinke, F.D. (2002). Combination of non-thermal plasma and heterogeneous catalysis for oxidation of volatile organic compounds: Part 1. Accessibility of the intra-particle volume. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 38, 163.
- Hori, H., Hayakawa, E., Einaga, H., Kutsuna, S., Koike, K., Ibusuki, T., Kiatagawa, H., and Arakawa, R. (2004). Decomposition of environmentally persistent perfluorooctanoic acid in water by photochemical approaches. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 6118.
- Hori, H., Ishiguro, A., Nakajima, K., Sano, T., Kutsuna, S., and Koike, K. (2013a). Visible light-induced decomposition of a fluorotelomer unsaturated carboxylic acid in water with a combination of tungsten trioxide and persulfate. *Chemo-sphere* 93, 2732.
- Hori, H., Murayama, M., Sano, T., and Kutsuna, S. (2010). Decomposition of perfluorinated ion-exchange membrane to fluoride ions using zerovalent metals in subcritical water. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 49, 464.
- Hori, H., Nagano, Y., Murayama, M., Koike, K., and Kutsuna, S. (2012). Efficient decomposition of perfluoroether carboxylic acids in water with a combination of persulfate oxidant and ultrasonic irradiation. J. Fluorine Chem. 141, 5.
- Hori, H., Nagaoka, Y., Murayama, M., and Kutsuna, S. (2008a). Efficient decomposition of perfluorocarboxylic acids and alternative fluorochemical surfactants in hot water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 7438.
- Hori, H., Nagaoka, Y., Sano, T., and Kutsuna, S. (2008b). Ironinduced decomposition of perfluorohexanesulfonate in suband supercritical water. *Chemosphere* 70, 800.
- Hori, H., Nagaoka, Y., Yamamoto, A., Sano, T., Yamashita, N., Taniyasu, S., Kutsuna, S., Osaka, I., and Arakawa, R. (2006). Efficient decomposition of environmentally persistent perfluorooctanesulfonate and related fluorochemicals using zerovalent iron in subcritical water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 1049.
- Hori, H., Noda, Y., Takahashi, A., and Sakamoto, T. (2013b). Decomposition of perfluorinated ionic liquid anions to fluoride ions in subcritical and supercritical water with iron-based reducing agents. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 52, 13622.
- Hori, H., Saito, H., Sakai, H., Kitahara, T., and Sakamoto, T. (2015). Efficient decomposition of a new fluorochemical

#### PFAS DEGRADATION AND REMOVAL METHODS FROM WATER

surfactant: Perfluoroalkane disulfonate to fluoride ions in subcritical and supercritical water. *Chemosphere* 129, 27.

- Hori, H., Yamamoto, A., Hayakawa, E., Taniyasu, S., Yamashita, N., and Kutsuna, S. (2005). Efficient decomposition of environmentally persistent perfluorocarboxylic acids by use of persulfate as a photochemical oxidant. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 2383.
- Hori, H., Yamamoto, A., Koike, K., Kutsuna, S., Osaka, I., and Arakawa, R. (2007a). Persulfate-induced photochemical decomposition of a fluorotelomer unsaturated carboxylic acid in water. *Water Res.* 41, 2962.
- Hori, H., Yamamoto, A., Koike, K., Kutsuna, S., Osaka, I., and Arakawa, R. (2007b). Photochemical decomposition of environmentally persistent short-chain perfluorocarboxylic acids in water mediated by iron(II)/(III) redox reactions. *Chemosphere* 68, 572.
- Horikoshi, S., Sato, S., Abe, M., and Serpone, N. (2011). A novel liquid plasma AOP device integrating microwaves and ultrasounds and its evaluation in defluorinating perfluorooctanoic acid in aqueous media. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 18, 938.
- Houtz, E.F., Higgins, C.P., Field, J.A., and Sedlak, D.L. (2013). Persistence of perfluoroalkyl acid precursors in AFFFimpacted groundwater and soil. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 8187.
- Huang, L., Dong, W., and Hou, H. (2007). Investigation of the reactivity of hydrated electron toward perfluorinated carboxylates by laser flash photolysis. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 436, 124.
- Ikehata, K., Jodeiri Naghashkar, N., and Gamal El-Din, M. (2006). Degradation of aqueous pharmaceuticals by ozonation and advanced oxidation processes: A review. *Ozone Sci. Eng.* 28, 353.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2007). IPCC Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007 (AR4). New York: C.U. Press.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2013). IPCC Fifth Assessment Report. New York: C.U. Press.
- Jessop, P.G., and Leitner, W. (1999). Supercritical fluids as media for chemical reactions. In P.G. Jessop and W. Leitner, Eds., *Chemical Synthesis Using Supercritical Fluids*. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, p. 1.
- Jin, L., and Zhang, P. (2015). Photochemical decomposition of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) in an anoxic alkaline solution by 185 nm vacuum ultraviolet. *Chem. Eng. J.* 280, 241.
- Jin, L., Zhang, P., Shao, T., and Zhao, S. (2014). Ferric ion mediated photodecomposition of aqueous perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) under UV irradiation and its mechanism. J. *Hazard. Mater.* 271, 9.
- Johnson, R.L., Anschutz, A.J., Smolen, J.M., Simcik, M.F., and Penn, R.L. (2007). The Adsorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate onto sand, clay, and iron oxide surfaces. J. Chem. Eng. Data 52, 1165.
- Jones, J.R., Langham, P.B., and Lu, S.-Y. (2002). Microwaveenhanced tritium–hydrogen exchange: Application to radioactive waste reduction. *Green Chem.* 4, 464.
- Joseph, C.G., Li Puma, G., Bono, A., and Krishnaiah, D. (2009). Sonophotocatalysis in advanced oxidation process: A short review. Ultrason. Sonochem. 16, 583.
- Jüttner, K., Galla, U., and Schmieder, H. (2000). Electrochemical approaches to environmental problems in the process industry. *Electrochim. Acta* 45, 2575.
- Kotthoff, M., Muller, J., Jurling, H., Schlummer, M., and Fiedler, D. (2015). Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in consumer products. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 22, 14546.

- Krusic, P.J., Marchione, A.A., and Roe, D.C. (2005). Gas-phase NMR studies of the thermolysis of perfluorooctanoic acid. J. *Fluorine Chem.* 126, 1510.
- Krusic, P.J., and Roe, D.C. (2004). Gas-phase NMR technique for studying the thermolysis of materials: Thermal decomposition of ammonium perfluorooctanoate. *Anal. Chem.* 76, 3800.
- Kwadijk, C.J.A.F., Velzeboer, I., and Koelmans, A.A. (2013). Sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate to carbon nanotubes in aquatic sediments. *Chemosphere* 90, 1631.
- Lampert, D., Frisch, M., and Speitel, G. (2007). Removal of perfluorooctanoic acid and perfluorooctane sulfonate from wastewater by ion exchange. *Pract. Period. Hazard. Toxic Radioact. Waste Manage.* 11, 60.
- Lee, Y., Lo, S., Kuo, J., and Hsieh, C. (2012a). Decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid by microwave activated persulfate: Effects of temperature, pH, and chloride ions. *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng.* 6, 17.
- Lee, Y.C., Lo, S.L., Chiueh, P.T., and Chang, D.G. (2009). Efficient decomposition of perfluorocarboxylic acids in aqueous solution using microwave-induced persulfate. *Water Res.* 43, 2811.
- Lee, Y.C., Lo, S.L., Chiueh, P.T., Liou, Y.H., and Chen, M.L. (2010). Microwave-hydrothermal decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid in water by iron-activated persulfate oxidation. *Water Res.* 44, 886.
- Lee, Y.C., Lo, S.L., Kuo, J., and Lin, Y.L. (2012b). Persulfate oxidation of perfluorooctanoic acid under the temperatures of 20–40°C. *Chem. Eng. J.* 198–199, 27.
- Leighton, T.G. (1994). *The Acoustic Bubble*. London: Academic Press.
- Li, X., Chen, S., Quan, X., and Zhang, Y. (2011). Enhanced adsorption of PFOA and PFOS on multiwalled carbon nanotubes under electrochemical assistance. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 8498.
- Li, X., Ma, J., Liu, G., Fang, J., Yue, S., Guan, Y., Chen, L., and Liu, X. (2012a). Efficient reductive dechlorination of monochloroacetic acid by sulfite/UV process. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 7342.
- Li, X., Zhang, P., Jin, L., Shao, T., Li, Z., and Cao, J. (2012b). Efficient photocatalytic decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid by indium oxide and its mechanism. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 5528.
- Lian, R., Oulianov, D.A., Crowell, R.A., Shkrob, I.A., Chen, X., and Bradforth, S.E. (2006). Electron photodetachment from aqueous anions. 3. Dynamics of Geminate pairs derived from photoexcitation of mono- vs polyatomic anions. J. Phys. Chem. A 110, 9071.
- Liao, Z., and Farrell, J. (2009). Electrochemical oxidation of perfluorobutane sulfonate using boron-doped diamond film electrodes. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 39, 1993.
- Lin, A.Y.C., Panchangam, S.C., Chang, C.Y., Hong, P.K.A., and Hsueh, H.F. (2012a). Removal of perfluorooctanoic acid and perfluorooctane sulfonate via ozonation under alkaline condition. J. Hazard. Mater. 243, 272.
- Lin, H., Niu, J., Ding, S., and Zhang, L. (2012b). Electrochemical degradation of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) by Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub>–Sb, Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub>–Sb/PbO<sub>2</sub> and Ti/SnO<sub>2</sub>–Sb/MnO<sub>2</sub> anodes. *Water Res.* 46, 2281.
- Lin, H., Wang, Y., Niu, J., Yue, Z., and Huang, Q. (2015). Efficient sorption and removal of perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) from aqueous solution by metal hydroxides generated in situ by electrocoagulation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 10562.
- Linsebigler, A.L., Lu, G., and Yates, Jr, J.T. (1995). Photocatalysis on TiO<sub>2</sub> surfaces: Principles, mechanisms, and selected results. *Chem. Rev.* 95, 735.

- Liu, C.S., Higgins, C.P., Wang, F., and Shih, K. (2012a). Effect of temperature on oxidative transformation of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) by persulfate activation in water. *Separ. Purif. Technol.* 91, 46.
- Liu, C.S., Shih, K., and Wang, F. (2012b). Oxidative decomposition of perfluorooctanesulfonate in water by permanganate. *Separ. Purif. Technol.* 87, 95.
- Liu, D., Xiu, Z., Liu, F., Wu, G., Adamson, D., Newell, C., Vikesland, P., Tsai, A.L., and Alvarez, P.J. (2013a). Perfluorooctanoic acid degradation in the presence of Fe(III) under natural sunlight. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 262, 456.
- Liu, K., Zhang, S., Hu, X., Zhang, K., Roy, A., and Yu, G. (2015). Understanding the Adsorption of PFOA on MIL-101(Cr)-based anionic-exchange metal-organic frameworks: Comparing DFT calculations with aqueous sorption experiments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 8657.
- Liu, X., Yoon, S., Batchelor, B., and Abdel-Wahab, A. (2013b). Degradation of vinyl chloride (VC) by the sulfite/ UV advanced reduction process (ARP): Effects of process variables and a kinetic model. *Sci. Total Environ.* 454–455, 578.
- Liu, X., Yoon, S., Batchelor, B., and Abdel-Wahab, A. (2013c). Photochemical degradation of vinyl chloride with an advanced reduction process (ARP)—Effects of reagents and pH. *Chem. Eng. J.* 215–216, 868.
- Locke, B.R., Sato, M., Sunka, P., Hoffmann, M.R., and Chang, J.S. (2006). Electrohydraulic discharge and nonthermal plasma for water treatment. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 45, 882.
- Magureanu, M., Piroi, D., Mandache, N.B., David, V., Medvedovici, A., and Parvulescu, V.I. (2010). Degradation of pharmaceutical compound pentoxifylline in water by nonthermal plasma treatment. *Water Res.* 44, 3445.
- Mahamuni, N.N., and Adewuyi, Y.G. (2010). Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) involving ultrasound for waste water treatment: A review with emphasis on cost estimation. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 17, 990.
- Makarov, S.V. (2001). Novel trends in chemistry of sulfurcontaining reductants. Uspekhi Khimii 70, 1005.
- Marouf-Khelifa, K., Abdelmalek, F., Khelifa, A., and Addou, A. (2008). TiO<sub>2</sub>-assisted degradation of a perfluorinated surfactant in aqueous solutions treated by gliding arc discharge. *Chemosphere* 70, 1995.
- Marouf-Khelifa, K., Abdelmalek, F., Khelifa, A., Belhadj, M., Addou, A., and Brisset, J.L. (2006). Reduction of nitrite by sulfamic acid and sodium azide from aqueous solutions treated by gliding arc discharge. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 50, 373.
- Marsh, H., and Reinoso, F.R. (2006). Activated Carbon. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Matsuya, Y., Takeuchi, N., and Yasuoka, K. (2014). Relationship between reaction rate of perfluorocarboxylic acid decomposition at a plasma-liquid interface and adsorbed amount. *Electr. Eng. Japan* 188, 1.
- Mayhew, S.G. (1978). Redox potential of dithionite and SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> from equilibrium reactions with flavodoxins, methyl viologen and hydrogen plus hydrogenase. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 85, 535.
- McGuire, M.E., Schaefer, C., Richards, T., Backe, W.J., Field, J.A., Houtz, E., Sedlak, D.L., Guelfo, J.L., Wunsch, A., and Higgins, C.P. (2014). Evidence of remediation-induced alteration of subsurface poly- and perfluoroalkyl substance distribution at a former firefighter training area. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 6644.
- McKay, G. (2002). Dioxin characterisation, formation and minimisation during municipal solid waste (MSW) incineration: Review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 86, 343.

- McKenzie, E.R., Siegrist, R.L., McCray, J.E., and Higgins, C.P. (2015). Effects of chemical oxidants on perfluoroalkyl acid transport in one-dimensional porous media columns. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 1681.
- Meng, P., Deng, S., Lu, X., Du, Z., Wang, B., Huang, J., Wang, Y., Yu, G., and Xing, B. (2014). Role of air bubbles overlooked in the adsorption of perfluorooctanesulfonate on hydrophobic carbonaceous adsorbents. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 13785.
- Millinovic, J., Lacorte, S., Vidal, M., and Rigol, A. (2015). Sorption behaviour of perfluoroalkyl substances in soils. *Sci. Total Environ.* 511, 63.
- Mitchell, S.M., Ahmad, M., Teel, A.L., and Watts, R.J. (2014). Degradation of perfluorooctanoic acid by reactive species generated through catalyzed H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> propagation reactions. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 1, 117.
- Mollah, M.Y.A., Morkovsky, P., Gomes, J.A.G., Kesmez, M., Parga, J., and Cocke, D.L. (2004). Fundamentals, present and future perspectives of electrocoagulation. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 114, 199.
- Moriwaki, H., Takagi, Y., Tanaka, M., Tsuruho, K., Okitsu, K., and Maeda, Y. (2005). Sonochemical decomposition of perfluorooctane sulfonate and perfluorooctanoic acid. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 3388.
- Murugananthan, M., Yoshihara, S., Rakuma, T., Uehara, N., and Shirakashi, T. (2007). Electrochemical degradation of  $17\beta$ -estradiol (E2) at boron-doped diamond (Si/BDD) thin film electrode. *Electrochim. Acta* 52, 3242.
- Naile, J.E., Khim, J.S., Wang, T.Y., Chen, C.L., Luo, W., Kwon, B.O., Park, J., Koh, C.H., Jones, P.D., Lu, Y.L., and Giesy, J.P. (2010). Perfluorinated compounds in water, sediment, soil and biota from estuarine and coastal areas of Korea. *Environ. Pollut.* 158, 1237.
- Nassi, M., Sarti, E., Pasti, L., Martucci, A., Marchetti, N., Cavazzini, A., Renzo, F.D., and Galarneau, A. (2014). Removal of perfluorooctanoic acid from water by adsorption on high surface area mesoporous materials. J. Porous Mater. 21, 423.
- Niu, J., Lin, H., Gong, C., and Sun, X. (2013). Theoretical and experimental insights into the electrochemical mineralization mechanism of perfluorooctanoic acid. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 14341.
- Niu, J., Lin, H., Xu, J., Wu, H., and Li, Y. (2012). Electrochemical mineralization of perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs) by Ce-doped modified porous nanocrystalline PbO<sub>2</sub> film electrode. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 10191.
- Ochiai, T., Iizuka, Y., Nakata, K., Murakami, T., Tryk, D.A., Fujishima, A., Koide, Y., and Morito, Y. (2011a). Efficient electrochemical decomposition of perfluorocarboxylic acids by the use of a boron-doped diamond electrode. *Diamond Relat. Mater.* 20, 64.
- Ochiai, T., Iizuka, Y., Nakata, K., Murakami, T., Tryk, D.A., Koide, Y., Morito, Y., and Fujishima, A. (2011b). Efficient decomposition of perfluorocarboxylic acids in aqueous suspensions of a TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst with medium-pressure ultraviolet lamp irradiation under atmospheric pressure. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 50, 10943.
- Ochiai, T., Moriyama, H., Nakata, K., Murakami, T., Koide, Y., and Fujishima, A. (2011c). Electrochemical and photocatalytic decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid with a hybrid reactor using a boron-doped diamond electrode and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst. *Chem. Lett.* 40, 682.
- Ochoa-Herrera, V., and Sierra-Alvarez, R. (2008). Removal of perfluorinated surfactants by sorption onto granular activated carbon, zeolite and sludge. *Chemosphere* 72, 1588.

#### PFAS DEGRADATION AND REMOVAL METHODS FROM WATER

- Ochoa-Herrera, V., Sierra-Alvarez, R., Somogyi, A., Jacobsen, N.E., Wysocki, V.H., and Field, J.A. (2008). Reductive defluorination of perfluorooctane sulfonate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 3260.
- Oda, T. (2003). Non-thermal plasma processing for environmental protection: Decomposition of dilute VOCs in air. *J. Electrostat.* 57, 293.
- Pan, G., Jia, C., Zhao, D., You, C., Chen, H., and Jiang, G. (2009). Effect of cationic and anionic surfactants on the sorption and desorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) on natural sediments. *Environ. Pollut.* 157, 325.
- Panchangam, S.C., Lin, A.Y.C., Shaik, K.L., and Lin, C.F. (2009a). Decomposition of perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs) by heterogeneous photocatalysis in acidic aqueous medium. *Chemosphere* 77, 242.
- Panchangam, S.C., Lin, A.Y.C., Tsai, J.H., and Lin, C.F. (2009b). Sonication-assisted photocatalytic decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid. *Chemosphere* 75, 654.
- Panizza, M. (2010). Importance of electrode material in the electrochemical treatment of wastewater containing organic pollutants. In C. Comninellis, G. Chen, Eds., *Electrochemistry for the Environment*. New York: Springer, p. 25.
- Panizza, M., and Cerisola, G. (2009). Direct and mediated anodic oxidation of organic pollutants. *Chem. Rev.* 109, 6541.
- Park, H., Vecitis, C.D., Cheng, J., Choi, W., Mader, B.T., and Hoffmann, M.R. (2009). Reductive defluorination of aqueous perfluorinated alkyl surfactants: Effects of ionic headgroup and chain length. J. Phys. Chem. A 113, 690.
- Park, H., Vecitis, C.D., Cheng, J., Dalleska, N.F., Mader, B.T., and Hoffmann, M.R. (2011). Reductive degradation of perfluoroalkyl compounds with aquated electrons generated from iodide photolysis at 254 nm. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 10, 1945.
- Park, M., Komarneni, S., and Roy, R. (2000). Microwave–hydrothermal decomposition of chlorinated organic compounds. *Mater. Lett.* 43, 259.
- Paul, A.G., and Jones, K.C. (2009). A first global production, emission, and environmental inventory for perfluorooctane sulfonate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 386.
- Phan Thi, L.A., Do, H.T., Lee, Y.C., and Lo, S.L. (2013). Photochemical decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acids in aqueous carbonate solution with UV irradiation. *Chem. Eng.* J. 221, 258.
- Phan Thi, L.A., Do, H.T., and Lo, S.L. (2014). Enhancing decomposition rate of perfluorooctanoic acid by carbonate radical assisted sonochemical treatment. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 21, 1875.
- Pramanik, B.K., Pramanik, S.K., and Suja, F. (2015). A comparative study of coagulation, granular- and powderedactivated carbon for the removal of perfluorooctane sulfonate and perfluorooctanoate in drinking water treatment. *Environ. Technol.* 36, 2610.
- Punyapalakul, P., Suksomboon, K., Prarat, P., and Khaodhiar, S. (2013). Effects of surface functional groups and porous structures on adsorption and recovery of perfluorinated compounds by inorganic porous silicas. *Separ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 775.
- Qu, Y., Zhang, C., Li, F., Bo, X., Liu, G., and Zhou, Q. (2009). Equilibrium and kinetics study on the adsorption of perfluorooctanoic acid from aqueous solution onto powdered activated carbon. J. Hazard. Mater. 169, 146.
- Qu, Y., Zhang, C., Li, F., Chen, J., and Zhou, Q. (2010). Photoreductive defluorination of perfluorooctanoic acid in water. *Water Res.* 44, 2939.
- Qu, Y., Zhang, C.J., Chen, P., Zhou, Q., and Zhang, W.X. (2014). Effect of initial solution pH on photo-induced re-

ductive decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid. *Chemosphere* 107, 218.

- Rahman, M.F., Peldszus, S., and Anderson, W.B. (2014). Behaviour and fate of perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in drinking water treatment: A review. *Water Res.* 50, 318.
- Rankin, K., Lee, H., Tseng, P.J., and Mabury, S.A. (2014). Investigating the biodegradability of a fluorotelomer-based acrylate polymer in a soil-plant microcosm by indirect and direct analysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 12783.
- Rayne, S., and Forest, K. (2009). Perfluoroalkyl sulfonic and carboxylic acids: A critical review of physicochemical properties, levels and patterns in waters and wastewaters, and treatment methods. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A 44, 1145.
- Roland, U., Holzer, F., and Kopinke, F.D. (2002). Improved oxidation of air pollutants in a non-thermal plasma. *Catal. Today* 73, 315.
- Sansotera, M., Persico, F., Pirola, C., Navarrini, W., Di Michele, A., and Bianchi, C.L. (2014). Decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid photocatalyzed by titanium dioxide: Chemical modification of the catalyst surface induced by fluoride ions. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 148–149, 29.
- Schaefer, C.E., Andaya, C., Urtiaga, A., McKenzie, E.R., and Higgins, C.P. (2015). Electrochemical treatment of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS) in groundwater impacted by aqueous film forming foams (AFFFs). J. Hazard. Mater. 295, 170.
- Schuricht, F., Reschetilowski, W., Reich, A., and Giebler, E. (2014). Elimination of perfluorinated surfactants-adsorbent evaluation applying surface tension measurements. *Chem. Eng. Technol.* 37, 1121.
- Senevirathna, S.T.M.L.D., Tanaka, S., Fujii, S., Kunacheva, C., Harada, H., Shivakoti, B.R., and Okamoto, R. (2010). A comparative study of adsorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) onto granular activated carbon, ion-exchange polymers and non-ion-exchange polymers. *Chemosphere* 80, 647.
- Shao, T., Zhang, P., Jin, L., and Li, Z. (2013). Photocatalytic decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid in pure water and sewage water by nanostructured gallium oxide. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 142–143, 654.
- Shivakoti, B.R., Fujii, S., Nozoe, M., Tanaka, S., and Kunacheva, C. (2010). Perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs) in water purification plants (WPPs) with advanced treatment processes. *Water Sci. Technol. Water Supply* 10, 87.
- Song, C., Chen, P., Wang, C., and Zhu, L. (2012). Photodegradation of perfluorooctanoic acid by synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub>– MWCNT composites under 365 nm UV irradiation. *Chemosphere* 86, 853.
- Song, Z., Tang, H., Wang, N., and Zhu, L. (2013). Reductive defluorination of perfluorooctanoic acid by hydrated electrons in a sulfite-mediated UV photochemical system. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 262, 332.
- Takagi, S., Adachi, F., Miyano, K., Koizumi, Y., Tanaka, H., Mimura, M., Watanabe, I., Tanabe, S., and Kannan, K. (2008). Perfluorooctanesulfonate and perfluorooctanoate in raw and treated tap water from Osaka, Japan. *Chemosphere* 72, 1409.
- Takeuchi, N., Kitagawa, Y., Kosugi, A., Tachibana, K., Obo, H., and Yasuoka, K. (2014). Plasma–liquid interfacial reaction in decomposition of perfluoro surfactants. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 47, 45203.
- Tang, C.Y., Fu, Q.S., Criddle, C.S., and Leckie, J.O. (2007). Effect of flux (transmembrane pressure) and membrane properties on fouling and rejection of reverse osmosis and

nanofiltration membranes treating perfluorooctane sulfonate containing wastewater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 2008.

- Tang, C.Y., Fu, Q.S., Robertson, A.P., Criddle, C.S., and Leckie, J.O. (2006). Use of reverse osmosis membranes to remove perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) from semiconductor wastewater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 7343.
- Tang, C.Y., Shiang Fu, Q., Gao, D., Criddle, C.S., and Leckie, J.O. (2010). Effect of solution chemistry on the adsorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate onto mineral surfaces. *Water Res.* 44, 2654.
- Tang, H., Xiang, Q., Lei, M., Yan, J., Zhu, L., and Zou, J. (2012). Efficient degradation of perfluorooctanoic acid by UV–Fenton process. *Chem. Eng. J.* 184, 156.
- Taylor, P.H., Yamada, T., Striebich, R.C., Graham, J.L., and Giraud, R.J. (2014). Investigation of waste incineration of fluorotelomer-based polymers as a potential source of PFOA in the environment. *Chemosphere* 110, 17.
- Thompson, J., Eaglesham, G., Reungoat, J., Poussade, Y., Bartkow, M., Lawrence, M., and Mueller, J.F. (2011). Removal of PFOS, PFOA and other perfluoroalkyl acids at water reclamation plants in South East Queensland Australia. *Chemosphere* 82, 9.
- Thompson, L.H., and Doraiswamy, L.K. (1999). Sonochemistry: Science and engineering. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 38, 1215.
- Trautmann, A.M., Schell, H., Schmidt, K.R., Mangold, K.M., and Tiehm, A. (2015). Electrochemical degradation of perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in groundwater. *Water Sci. Technol.* 71, 1569.
- Tseng, N., Wang, N., Szostek, B., and Mahendra, S. (2014). Biotransformation of 6:2 fluorotelomer alcohol (6:2 FTOH) by a wood-rotting fungus. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 4012.
- Tsitonaki, A., Petri, B., Crimi, M., MosbÆK, H., Siegrist, R.L., and Bjerg, P.L. (2010). In situ chemical oxidation of contaminated soil and groundwater using persulfate: A review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 55.
- Tuppurainen, K., Halonen, I., Ruokojärvi, P., Tarhanen, J., and Ruuskanen, J. (1998). Formation of PCDDs and PCDFs in municipal waste incineration and its inhibition mechanisms: A review. *Chemosphere* 36, 1493.
- Urtiaga, A., Fernandez-Gonzalez, C., Gomez-Lavin, S., and Ortiz, I. (2015). Kinetics of the electrochemical mineralization of perfluorooctanoic acid on ultrananocrystalline boron doped conductive diamond electrodes. *Chemosphere* 129, 20.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2016). Fact Sheet: PFOA & PFOS Drinking Water Health Advisories https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-06/documents/ drinkingwaterhealthadvisories\_pfoa\_pfos\_updated\_5.31.16.pdf (accessed May 28, 2016).
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Office of Pollution Prevention (USEPA). (2003). *Final Report: Laboratory-Scale Thermal Degradation of Perfluorooctanyl Sulfonate and Related Substances.* Washington, DC. Environmental Sciences and Engineering Group, University of Dayton Research Institute.
- Vecitis, C.D., Park, H., Cheng, J., Mader, B.T., and Hoffmann, M.R. (2008a). Enhancement of Perfluorooctanoate and perfluorooctanesulfonate activity at acoustic cavitation bubble interfaces. J. Phys. Chem. C 112, 16850.
- Vecitis, C.D., Park, H., Cheng, J., Mader, B.T., and Hoffmann, M.R. (2008b). Kinetics and Mechanism of the sonolytic conversion of the aqueous perfluorinated surfactants, perfluorooctanoate (PFOA), and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) into inorganic products. J. Phys. Chem. A 112, 4261.
- Vecitis, C.D., Wang, Y., Cheng, J., Park, H., Mader, B.T., and Hoffmann, M.R. (2010). Sonochemical degradation of per-

fluorooctanesulfonate in aqueous film-forming foams. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 432.

- Vellanki, B.P., Batchelor, B., and Abdel-Wahab, A. (2013). Advanced reduction processes: A new class of treatment processes. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 30, 264.
- Vierke, L., Ahrens, L., Shoeib, M., Palm, W.U., Webster, E.M., Ellis, D.A., Ebinghaus, R., and Harner, T. (2013). In situ airwater and particle-water partitioning of perfluorocarboxylic acids, perfluorosulfonic acids and perfluorocctyl sulfonamide at a wastewater treatment plant. *Chemosphere* 92, 941.
- Wang, B.B., Cao, M.H., Tan, Z.J., Wang, L.L., Yuan, S.H., and Chen, J. (2010). Photochemical decomposition of perfluorodecanoic acid in aqueous solution with VUV light irradiation. J. Hazard. Mater. 181, 187.
- Wang, F., Liu, C., and Shih, K. (2012). Adsorption behavior of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) on boehmite. *Chemosphere* 89, 1009.
- Wang, F., Lu, X., Shih, K., and Liu, C. (2011a). Influence of calcium hydroxide on the fate of perfluorooctanesulfonate under thermal conditions. J. Hazard. Mater. 192, 1067.
- Wang, F., Lu, X., Shih, K., Wang, P., and Li, X. (2014a). Removal of perfluoroalkyl sulfonates (PFAS) from aqueous solution using permanently confined micelle arrays (PCMAs). *Separ. Purif. Technol.* 138, 7.
- Wang, F., and Shih, K. (2011). Adsorption of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) on alumina: Influence of solution pH and cations. *Water Res.* 45, 2925.
- Wang, F., Shih, K., and Leckie, J.O. (2015). Effect of humic acid on the sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorobutane sulfonate (PFBS) on boehmite. *Chemosphere* 118, 213.
- Wang, F., Shih, K., Lu, X., and Liu, C. (2013). Mineralization behavior of fluorine in perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) during thermal treatment of lime-conditioned sludge. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 2621.
- Wang, T.Y., Lu, Y.L., Chen, C.L., Naile, J.E., Khim, J.S., Park, J., Luo, W., Jiao, W.T., Hu, W.Y., and Giesy, J.P. (2011b). Perfluorinated compounds in estuarine and coastal areas of north Bohai Sea, China. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 62, 1905.
- Wang, Y., Zhang, P., Pan, G., and Chen, H. (2008). Ferric ion mediated photochemical decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) by 254 nm UV light. J. Hazard. Mater. 160, 181.
- Wang, Z., Cousins, I.T., Scheringer, M., Buck, R.C., and Hungerbuhler, K. (2014b). Global emission inventories for C4-C14 perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acid (PFCA) homologues from 1951 to 2030, part II: The remaining pieces of the puzzle. *Environ. Int.* 69, 166.
- Wang, Z., Cousins, I.T., Scheringer, M., Buck, R.C., and Hungerbühler, K. (2014c). Global emission inventories for C4–C14 perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acid (PFCA) homologues from 1951 to 2030, Part I: Production and emissions from quantifiable sources. *Environ. Int.* 70, 62.
- Watts, R., and Teel, A. (2005). Chemistry of modified Fenton's reagent (catalyzed H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> propagations–CHP) for in situ soil and groundwater remediation. *J. Environ. Eng.* 131, 612.
- Wilhelm, M., Bergmann, S., and Dieter, H.H. (2010). Occurrence of perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) in drinking water of North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany and new approach to assess drinking water contamination by shorter-chained C4-C7 PFCs. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 213, 224.
- Xiao, F., Davidsavor, K.J., Park, S., Nakayama, M., and Phillips, B.R. (2012). Batch and column study: Sorption of per-

### PFAS DEGRADATION AND REMOVAL METHODS FROM WATER

fluorinated surfactants from water and cosolvent systems by Amberlite XAD resins. J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 368, 505.

- Xiao, F., Zhang, X., Penn, L., Gulliver, J.S., and Simcik, M.F. (2011). Effects of monovalent cations on the competitive adsorption of perfluoroalkyl acids by kaolinite: Experimental studies and modeling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 10028.
- Yamada, T., Taylor, P.H., Buck, R.C., Kaiser, M.A., and Giraud, R.J. (2005). Thermal degradation of fluorotelomer treated articles and related materials. *Chemosphere* 61, 974.
- Yamamoto, T., Noma, Y., Sakai, S.I., and Shibata, Y. (2007). Photodegradation of perfluorooctane sulfonate by UV irradiation in water and alkaline 2-propanol. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 5660.
- Yan, T., Chen, H., Jiang, F., and Wang, X. (2014). Adsorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate and perfluorooctanoic acid on magnetic mesoporous carbon nitride. J. Chem. Eng. Data 59, 508.
- Yan, T., Chen, H., Wang, X., and Jiang, F. (2013). Adsorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) on mesoporous carbon nitride. *RSC Adv.* 3, 22480.
- Yang, B., Jiang, C., Yu, G., Zhuo, Q., Deng, S., Wu, J., and Zhang, H. (2015). Highly efficient electrochemical degradation of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) by F-doped Ti/SnO electrode. J. Hazard. Mater. 299, 417.
- Yang, S.W., Sun, J., Hu, Y.Y., Cheng, J.H., and Liang, X.Y. (2013). Effect of vacuum ultraviolet on ultrasonic defluorination of aqueous perfluorooctanesulfonate. *Chem. Eng.* J. 234, 106.
- Yang, X., Huang, J., Zhang, K., Yu, G., Deng, S., and Wang, B. (2014). Stability of 6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate in advanced oxidation processes: Degradation kinetics and pathway. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21, 4634.
- Yasuoka, K., Sasaki, K., and Hayashi, R. (2011). An energyefficient process for decomposing perfluorooctanoic and perfluorooctane sulfonic acids using dc plasmas generated within gas bubbles. *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 20, 034009 (7 pp).
- Yasuoka, K., Sasaki, K., Hayashi, R., Kosugi, A., and Takeuchi, N. (2010). Degradation of perfluoro compounds and F-recovery in water using discharge plasmas generated within gas bubbles. *Int. J. Plasma Environ. Sci. Technol.* 4, 113.
- Yoon, S., Han, D.S., Liu, X., Batchelor, B., and Abdel-Wahab, A. (2014). Degradation of 1,2-dichloroethane using advanced reduction processes. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2, 731.
- Yu, J., He, C., Liu, X., Wu, J., Hu, Y., and Zhang, Y. (2014). Removal of perfluorinated compounds by membrane bioreactor with powdered activated carbon (PAC): Adsorption onto sludge and PAC. *Desalination* 334, 23.
- Yu, J., Lv, L., Lan, P., Zhang, S., Pan, B., and Zhang, W. (2012). Effect of effluent organic matter on the adsorption of perfluorinated compounds onto activated carbon. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 225–226, 99.
- Yu, Q., Deng, S., and Yu, G. (2008). Selective removal of perfluorooctane sulfonate from aqueous solution using chitosan-

based molecularly imprinted polymer adsorbents. *Water Res.* 42, 3089.

- Yu, Q., Zhang, R., Deng, S., Huang, J., and Yu, G. (2009). Sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate and perfluorooctanoate on activated carbons and resin: Kinetic and isotherm study. *Water Res.* 43, 1150.
- Zhang, C., Qu, Y., Zhao, X., and Zhou, Q. (2014). Photoinduced reductive decomposition of perflurooctanoic acid in water: Effect of temperature and ionic strength. *Clean Soil Air Water* 43, 223.
- Zhang, C., Yan, H., Li, F., Hu, X., and Zhou, Q. (2013a). Sorption of short- and long-chain perfluoroalkyl surfactants on sewage sludges. J. Hazard. Mater. 260, 689.
- Zhang, K., Huang, J., Yu, G., Zhang, Q., Deng, S., and Wang, B. (2013b). Destruction of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) by ball milling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 6471.
- Zhang, Q., Deng, S., Yu, G., and Huang, J. (2011). Removal of perfluorooctane sulfonate from aqueous solution by crosslinked chitosan beads: Sorption kinetics and uptake mechanism. *Bioresour. Technol.* 102, 2265.
- Zhao, B., Lv, M., and Zhou, L. (2012). Photocatalytic degradation of perfluorooctanoic acid with  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in anoxic aqueous solution. *J. Environ. Sci.* 24, 774.
- Zhao, L., Bian, J., Zhang, Y., Zhu, L., and Liu, Z. (2014). Comparison of the sorption behaviors and mechanisms of perfluorosulfonates and perfluorocarboxylic acids on three kinds of clay minerals. *Chemosphere* 114, 51.
- Zhi, Y., and Liu, J. (2015). Adsorption of perfluoroalkyl acids by carbonaceous adsorbents: Effect of carbon surface chemistry. *Environ. Pollut.* 202, 168.
- Zhou, Q., Deng, S., Yu, Q., Zhang, Q., Yu, G., Huang, J., and He, H. (2010a). Sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate on organo-montmorillonites. *Chemosphere* 78, 688.
- Zhou, Q., Deng, S., Zhang, Q., Fan, Q., Huang, J., and Yu, G. (2010b). Sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate and perfluorooctanoate on activated sludge. *Chemosphere* 81, 453.
- Zhou, Q., Pan, G., and Shen, W. (2013a). Enhanced sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate and Cr(VI) on organo montmorillonite: Influence of solution pH and uptake mechanism. *Adsorption* 19, 709.
- Zhou, Q., Pan, G., and Zhang, J. (2013b). Effective sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) on hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide immobilized mesoporous SiO2 hollow sphere. *Chemosphere* 90, 2461.
- Zhu, X., Tong, M., Shi, S., Zhao, H., and Ni, J. (2008). Essential explanation of the strong mineralization performance of boron-doped diamond electrodes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 4914.
- Zhuo, Q., Deng, S., Yang, B., Huang, J., Wang, B., Zhang, T., and Yu, G. (2012). Degradation of perfluorinated compounds on a boron-doped diamond electrode. *Electrochim. Acta* 77, 17.